AN A.S. PRATT PUBLICATION APRIL 2017 VOL. 3 • NO. 4

BRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTOR LAW REPORT



EDITOR'S NOTE: DOMESTIC PREFERENCE Victoria Prussen Spears

WILL "MAKING AMERICA GREAT AGAIN" MEAN INCREASED ENFORCEMENT OF THE BUY AMERICAN ACT? WHAT GOVERNMENT CONTRACTORS CAN DO RIGHT NOW Alex Hontos and Jocelyn Knoll

NEW FAR CHANGES INCENTIVIZE PRIME CONTRACTORS NOT TO BE DEADBEATS IN MEETING THEIR PAYMENT OBLIGATIONS TO THEIR SMALL BUSINESS SUBCONTRACTORS Daniel J. Kelly GAO'S BID PROTEST ANNUAL REPORT SHOWS RECORD HIGH EFFECTIVENESS RATE WHILE NDAA CALLS FOR NEW COMPREHENSIVE STUDY OF THE BID PROTEST SYSTEM Joseph R. Berger and Daniel M. Haymond

UNIVERSITIES ARE PRIME TARGETS FOR FALSE CLAIMS ACT LIABILITY Thomas J. Finn and Paula Cruz Cedillo

IN THE COURTS Steven A. Meyerowitz

PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT

VOLUME 3	NUMBER 4	APRIL 2017
Editor's Note: Domestic Pref	erence	
Victoria Prussen Spears		123
American Act? What Govern	t Again" Mean Increased Enforcement of ment Contractors Can Do Right Now	·
Alex Hontos and Jocelyn Knol	11	125
	ze Prime Contractors Not to Be Deadbeat o Their Small Business Subcontractors	ts in Meeting
5		
	Report Shows Record High Effectiveness F	
Joseph R. Berger and Daniel M	ehensive Study of the Bid Protest System A. Haymond	132
Universities Are Prime Targe Thomas J. Finn and Paula Cru	e ts for False Claims Act Liability z Cedillo	135
In the Courts		
Steven A. Meyerowitz		138



QUESTIONS ABOUT THIS PUBLICATION?

 For questions about the Editorial Content appearing in these volumes or reprint permission, please call:

 Heidi A. Litman at
 516-771-2169

 Email:
 heidi.a.litman@lexisnexis.com

 For assistance with replacement pages, shipments, billing or other customer service matters, please call:
 heidi.a.litman@lexisnexis.com

 Customer Services Department at
 (800) 833-9844

 Outside the United States and Canada, please call
 (518) 487-3000

 Fax Number
 (518) 487-3584

 Customer Service Web site
 http://www.lexisnexis.com/custserv/

 For information on other Matthew Bender publications, please call
 (800) 223-1940

 Outside the United States and Canada, please call
 (800) 223-1940

Library of Congress Card Number:

ISBN: 978-1-6328-2705-0 (print)

Cite this publication as:

[author name], [article title], [vol. no.] PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT [page number] (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt);

Michelle E. Litteken, GAO Holds NASA Exceeded Its Discretion in Protest of FSS Task Order, 1 PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT 30 (LexisNexis A.S. Pratt)

Because the section you are citing may be revised in a later release, you may wish to photocopy or print out the section for convenient future reference.

This publication is sold with the understanding that the publisher is not engaged in rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services. If legal advice or other expert assistance is required, the services of a competent professional should be sought.

LexisNexis and the Knowledge Burst logo are registered trademarks of Reed Elsevier Properties Inc., used under license. A.S. Pratt is a registered trademark of Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license.

Copyright © 2017 Reed Elsevier Properties SA, used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All Rights Reserved.

No copyright is claimed by LexisNexis, Matthew Bender & Company, Inc., or Reed Elsevier Properties SA, in the text of statutes, regulations, and excerpts from court opinions quoted within this work. Permission to copy material may be licensed for a fee from the Copyright Clearance Center, 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, Mass. 01923, telephone (978) 750-8400.

An A.S. Pratt® Publication

Editorial Office 230 Park Ave., 7th Floor, New York, NY 10169 (800) 543-6862 www.lexisnexis.com

MATTHEW BENDER

Editor-in-Chief, Editor & Board of Editors

EDITOR-IN-CHIEF STEVEN A. MEYEROWITZ

President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

EDITOR

VICTORIA PRUSSEN SPEARS Senior Vice President, Meyerowitz Communications Inc.

BOARD OF EDITORS MARY BETH BOSCO

Partner, Holland & Knight LLP

DARWIN A. HINDMAN III Shareholder, Baker, Donelson, Bearman, Caldwell & Berkowitz, PC

> **J. ANDREW HOWARD** Partner, Alston & Bird LLP

KYLE R. JEFCOAT Counsel, Latham & Watkins LLP

JOHN E. JENSEN Partner, Pillsbury Winthrop Shaw Pittman LLP

> **DISMAS LOCARIA** Partner, Venable LLP

MARCIA G. MADSEN Partner, Mayer Brown LLP

KEVIN P. MULLEN Partner, Morrison & Foerster LLP

VINCENT J. NAPOLEON *Partner, Nixon Peabody LLP*

STUART W. TURNER Counsel, Arnold & Porter LLP

WALTER A.I. WILSON Senior Partner, Polsinelli PC PRATT'S GOVERNMENT CONTRACTING LAW REPORT is published twelve times a year by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. Copyright 2017 Reed Elsevier Properties SA., used under license by Matthew Bender & Company, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of this journal may be reproduced in any form-by microfilm, xerography, or otherwise-or incorporated into any information retrieval system without the written permission of the copyright owner. For permission to photocopy or use material electronically from Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report, please access www.copyright.com or contact the Copyright Clearance Center, Inc. (CCC), 222 Rosewood Drive, Danvers, MA 01923, 978-750-8400. CCC is a not-for-profit organization that provides licenses and registration for a variety of users. For subscription information and customer service, call 1-800-833-9844. Direct any editorial inquires and send any material for publication to Steven A. Meyerowitz, Editor-in-Chief, Meyerowitz Communications Inc., 26910 Grand Central Parkway Suite 18R, New 11005. smeyerowitz@meyerowitzcommunications.com, Floral Park, York 718.224.2258. Material for publication is welcomed-articles, decisions, or other items of interest to government contractors, attorneys and law firms, in-house counsel, government lawyers, and senior business executives. This publication is designed to be accurate and authoritative, but neither the publisher nor the authors are rendering legal, accounting, or other professional services in this publication. If legal or other expert advice is desired, retain the services of an appropriate professional. The articles and columns reflect only the present considerations and views of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the firms or organizations with which they are affiliated, any of the former or present clients of the authors or their firms or organizations, or the editors or publisher. POSTMASTER: Send address changes to Pratt's Government Contracting Law Report, LexisNexis Matthew Bender, 630 Central Avenue, New Providence, NJ 07974.

New FAR Changes Incentivize Prime Contractors Not to Be Deadbeats in Meeting Their Payment Obligations to Their Small Business Subcontractors

By Daniel J. Kelly*

New changes to the Federal Acquisition Regulation now impose mandatory reporting obligations on primes should they fail to make timely and full payments to their small business subs. The author of this article explains the changes.

One common complaint often heard from subcontractors is "How can we get paid?"

Whether through inadvertence, lack of subcontract management resources—or even as a predatory business strategy—some prime contractors will dance, dither, and delay upon receipt of requests for payment by their subs for work performed, services rendered and/or products delivered. This can be particularly onerous for small business subcontractors whose payroll and other obligations depend upon prompt payment by their customers.

Subs are put in an untenable position. Should they stop work and risk breach of contract? Should they threaten to sue and risk breaching the relationship? New changes to the Federal Acquisition Regulation ("FAR") now impose mandatory reporting obligations on primes should they fail to make timely and full payments to their small business subs. Chronic and unjustified payments now must go into an agency's evaluation of the prime's past performance in bidding contests.

Primes are well advised to make sure their supply chain management is in order to minimize the additional obligations and risks confronting them should they fail to meet their obligations to their small business subs.

BACKGROUND

Although contracting officers have no authority to direct payments to subcontractors, both Congress and the regulating agencies have adopted a series of measures to aid subcontractors in getting paid. The Miller Act¹ authorizes

^{*} Daniel J. Kelly is a partner at McCarter & English, LLP, counseling and acting as an advocate on behalf of clients doing business in the government marketplace. He may be reached at dkelly@mccarter.com.

¹ 40 U.S.C. §§ 3131–3134.

subs providing labor or materials on federal constructions projects to bring civil actions against prime contractors' payment bonds.

The Prompt Payment Act, first enacted in 1982 in response to delays by agencies in paying primes, was amended in 1988 to require agencies to include a clause² in federal constructions contracts to pay the sub for "satisfactory" performance within seven days of receipt of payment from the agency, and to pay an interest penalty if payments are not satisfactorily made.³

In November 2013, following guidance memoranda issued by the Office of Management and Budget, the FAR was amended to require a mandatory clause for all contracts,⁴ providing that upon receipt of accelerated payments from a government agency, the prime must accelerate payments to its small business subcontractors "to the maximum extent practicable and prior to when such payment is otherwise required under the subcontractor."⁵

FAR CHANGES

Newly minted FAR Clause 52.242-5 and its related regulations and clauses, effective January 19, 2017, are the latest. The clause is now mandatory for all prime contracts requiring a Small Business Subcontracting Plan (currently contracts valued over \$700,000 and public facility construction contracts over \$1.5 million), and establishes a reporting requirement for any late or reduced contract payments by a prime to its first-tier subcontractors.⁶

Under the new clause, the *prime must notify the contracting officer* in writing and within 14 days after:

- (1) the small business subcontractor was entitled to payment under its subcontract; and
- (2) the prime made a *reduced* or untimely payment to the subcontractor or failed to make a payment which is now *untimely*.

FAR 52.242-5 defines "reduced" as a payment for less than the amount agreed upon. "Untimely" means a payment that is more than *90 days* past due under the terms and conditions of the contract. The notice must include the reasons for making the reduced or untimely payment.

FAR 19.704 and FAR Clause 52.219-9, governing Small Business Subcontracting Plans, has also been amended to require prime contractors to include

- ⁴ FAR Clause 52.232-40.
- ⁵ See FAR 32.009.
- ⁶ See 81 Fed. Reg. 93481 (December 20, 2016).

² FAR Clause 52.232-27(c).

³ See 31 U.S.C. § 3905(b).

in their Small Business Subcontracting Plans "[a]ssurances that [the contractor] will pay its small business subcontractors on time and in accordance with the terms and conditions of the underlying contract, and [the obligation to] notify the contracting officer when the prime contractor makes a reduced or untimely payment to a small business subcontractor (see 52.242-5)." *That means that primes have an immediate obligation to revise their Small Business Subcontracting Plan to include this requirement.*

Finally, FAR Part 42.1502, establishing the policy for past performance evaluations, has been amended to require that such evaluations include an assessment of reduced or untimely payments to small business subcontractors determined to be "unjustified."

In particular, the contracting officer must assess whether the contractor's written explanation passes muster and must determine whether there is a "history of unjustified reduced or untimely payments" exemplified by a contractor reporting three or more occasions of unjustified reduced or untimely payments under a single contract within a one-year period.

A payment is not considered "unjustified" if there is a contract dispute regarding performance, a partial payment is made for amounts not in dispute, a payment is reduced due to past overpayments, there is an "administrative mistake," or late performance of the contractor leads to later payment by the prime. Once such a determination is made, the information must be reported in the Federal Awardee Performance and Integrity Information System ("FAPIIS")—the government-wide database used to track contractor misconduct and performance—within three calendar days.

The obligation was already enshrined in law (in amendments to the Small Business Act in 2010)⁷ and follows proposed rules dating back to July 2013. Importantly, already on the books and promulgated following the 2010 amendments to the Small Business Act is a Small Business Administration regulation prohibiting prime contractors from restricting a subcontractor from "discussing any material matter *pertaining to payment or utilization with the contracting officer.*"⁸ This sends a strong signal that there is a channel for subcontractors to alert agencies when they are not being paid on a timely basis.

CONCLUSION

Failure of a prime contractor to pay the full amount owed (at least within 90 days of the due date) in conjunction with the failure to report it will be a breach

⁷ P.L. 111-240, 124 Stat. 2504 (September 27, 2010) codified at 15 U.S.C. § 637(d)(12)(B).

⁸ 13 C.F.R. 125.3(c)(1)(iii) (emphasis added).

of the prime contract—a fact which an aggressive subcontractor may well want to bring to the attention of both the prime and the contracting agency. Chronic and unexcused failures to pay will now be part of the calculus used in evaluating past performance in new solicitation on which the prime is bidding.

For these reasons, primes are well advised to take seriously these new obligations and build into their supply chain management system checks and balances to ward off unjustified nonpayments or reduced payments.