

ANATOMY OF A TERM SHEET: SERIES A FINANCING

A key milestone in the lifecycle of many successful companies is obtaining financing from angel or venture capital investors, but in negotiating with experienced investors entrepreneurs are usually at a distinct disadvantage because they are less familiar with standard terms that investors deal in on a daily basis. While we strongly suggest entrepreneurs consult their lawyers rather than negotiate a term sheet *mano-a-mano*, we know this often does not happen. Our goal in this pamphlet is to give readers the ability to better evaluate these documents themselves by introducing them to the standard terms in an early-stage equity financing.

Although the specific language in early-stage financing documents can vary considerably depending on, among other things, the investor (angel, VC or someone else) and the company's stage of development, the universe of possible terms is actually fairly well established. It is therefore possible, with an understanding of these basic terms, to form your own conclusions about a term sheet. For this pamphlet we use as our guide the model Term Sheet available from the National Venture Capital Association (NVCA) website (www.nvca.org) because it covers most of the terms you would expect to see in a term sheet for an early stage equity financing and it also includes some helpful annotations. The most recent version of the NVCA Term Sheet is attached to this pamphlet, but you can also download it from the NVCA website.

Benjamin M. Hron, Esq.

ANATOMY OF A TERM SHEET

FORWARD FOR Q4 2020

What is now this Anatomy of a Term Sheet pamphlet began as a series of blog posts I wrote in 2009-2010. For most of the ensuing decade, the National Venture Capital Association (NVCA) made only minor changes to its Model Term Sheet and many years made none at all. In the past two years, however, the NVCA has made a number of material changes to the Model Term Sheet that reflect both industry trends and changes to applicable law.

The most recent version of the Model Term Sheet includes changes in five provisions that reflect the general industry trend towards more company-favorable terms in early stage financings.

1. Payment of Investors' Legal Fees and Expenses - Until the most recent update earlier this year, the Model Term Sheet included optional language requiring that the company pay the investors' legal fees and expenses in connection with the proposed financing even if the financing was never consummated (unless the investors' walked away for no reason). The elimination of this provision acknowledges the fact that it was rarely included in term sheets for Seed or Series A financings, perhaps because enforcing the provision, to say nothing of actually collecting from a cash-strapped startup, would have been difficult. Interestingly, the Model Term Sheet now defaults to requiring that the company's legal counsel will prepare the initial drafts of the financing documents. This runs contrary to the Golden Rule of contract drafting (whoever has the gold controls the drafting), but makes some sense if the company is not required to pay the investors' legal fees if the transaction falls through, particularly where both sides have already agreed to use the NVCA forms as the basis for the transaction documents.

2. Break-up Fee - The new Model Term Sheet also eliminates an optional provision requiring that the company pay the investors a break-up fee if the company breaches the No-Shop covenant (see page 17) and subsequently consummates a financing with another investor within a specified period of time. Prior versions of the Model Term Sheet acknowledged that it was unusual to include a break-up fee in the term sheet, and enforcing such a fee would be difficult, so the elimination of the provision in this version is not surprising.

3. Anti-dilution - While it is still included as an option in the NVCA's Model Certificate of Incorporation, the Model Term Sheet no longer makes mention of full-ratchet anti-dilution (see page 5). This makes sense in light of the fact that full ratchet anti-dilution is extremely rare in early

stage financing transactions, but not so uncommon in later stage financings.

4. Founders' Representations & Warranties - Prior versions of the Model Term Sheet included an optional provision requiring that the company's founders make certain representations and warranties about the company. From the investors' perspective there is a clear rationale for making the founders personally liable in this regard: if the investors' only recourse is to the company, then any damages the investors managed to collect would have the perverse effect of diminishing the value of their investment. In essence, the investors would be paying themselves. The elimination of this optional provision in the Model Term Sheet recognizes that despite this rationale the provision was rarely used.

5. Drag Along - In the past, the Model Term Sheet provided that the Drag Along provision (see page 16) could be triggered by a vote of the investors, typically following approval of a transaction by the company's Board of Directors. The revised Model Term Sheet includes optional language that would also require approval of the holders of a majority of the company's Common Stock held by the Company's employees. This change gives the holders of Common Stock, and most notably any founder of the company who is still an employee at the time of a potential sale, a veto over a potential sale transaction.

Not all of the recent changes to the Model Term Sheet are company-favorable. The most recent version now includes a new Protective Provision (see page 4) prohibiting the company from creating, issuing, selling, sponsoring or distributing digital tokens, cryptocurrency or other blockchain-based assets without the consent of the investors. These restrictions were introduced in response to the recent wave of initial coin offerings, initial token offerings and similar transactions that gave companies an alternative means of raising capital without selling stock or other equity securities.

Finally, the Model Term Sheet now includes several provisions dealing with regulations promulgated by the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (CFIUS), an inter-agency committee of the U.S. government, regarding investments by non-U.S. persons in U.S. companies. CFIUS has the authority to review certain foreign investments in U.S. businesses to determine whether such transactions threaten to impair U.S. national security. The addition of these provisions reflects the fact that it is increasingly common for startups to raise capital from non-U.S. investors and that recent changes to U.S. law intended to strengthen the oversight and expand the jurisdictional reach of CFIUS mean that more financing transactions fall within the scope of CFIUS regulations.



ANATOMY OF A TERM SHEET

Nature of a Term Sheet and Summary of Offering Terms	1
Introductory Paragraph	1
Offering Terms	1
Charter	3
Dividends	3
Liquidation Preference	3
Voting Rights and Protective Provisions	4
Conversion and Anti-dilution	4
Pay-to-Play	6
Redemption Rights	6
Stock Purchase Agreement	8
Representations and Warranties	8
Counsel and Expenses	8
Investors' Rights Agreement	10
Registration Rights	10
Management Rights and Investor Director Approval	10
Right to Participate Pro Rata in Future Rounds (a/k/a Preemptive Rights)	11
Other Investor Protective Provisions	12
Right of First Refusal/Co-Sale Agreement	14
Right of First Refusal	14
Right of Co-Sale	14
Voting Agreement	15
Board of Directors	15
Drag Along	15
Other Matters	17
Vesting of Founders' Stock	17
No Shop and Confidentiality	17
Key Takeaways and Other Resources	18
Author Biography	19
Appendix A - NVCA Model Term Sheet	

NATURE OF A TERM SHEET AND SUMMARY OF OFFERING TERMS

Introductory Paragraph

Fundamentally, a term sheet is just an agreement to try to reach an agreement, and therefore only a steppingstone (albeit an important one) on the path to financing. With that said, while the terms of an eventual financing may vary from those outlined in the term sheet, the term sheet is the first place lawyers on both sides will look to when preparing the actual financing documents. Any deviation from the term sheet must be justified; for instance, by a revelation about the company discovered during the investors' due diligence. Since such revelations are rarely favorable to the company, it is in the company's best interest to seek to negotiate the best terms possible at the term sheet stage.

Although the introductory paragraph of the NVCA's model term sheet makes clear that the term sheet does not, for the most part, create any legally binding obligations, it is important to be aware of two exceptions. The term sheet explicitly provides that the "No Shop/Confidentiality provision is binding on the parties. In addition, courts in some jurisdictions have found that even a non-binding term sheet requires the parties to negotiate in good faith, so the choice of law governing the term sheet may create another binding obligation (see the footnote to the introductory paragraph of the term sheet for more details). Because the scope and enforceability of these obligations depends on the law governing the term sheet a discussion of their potential impact is beyond the scope of this pamphlet so we strongly recommend consulting your attorney.

Offering Terms

The "Offering Terms" section of the NVCA's model term sheet summarizes the key economic provisions of the financing and lists certain common conditions to closing. This section is fairly self-explanatory, so we will limit our discussion to four points.

First, sometimes the investment will be divided into tranches spread across two or more Closing Dates, and later tranches may be subject to the company achieving certain milestones. If milestones are included, it is important that they be clearly defined and, if achievement or failure of a milestone cannot be objectively determined (i.e. is open to interpretation), that the mechanism for determining if/when the milestone is achieved also be clearly defined. Typically, determining if a milestone is achieved will fall to the investors, so it is in the company's

best interest to ensure the milestones are sufficiently well defined to minimize the investors' discretion. Tranched investments are particularly common in the financing of life science companies, where milestones, such as the receipt of regulatory approval, are easy to clearly define, but tranches can also be tied to the achievement of certain sales or spending goals.

Second, if the company has convertible notes, SAFEs or other convertible instruments outstanding that will convert to stock of the company as a result of the financing, you should make sure that the conversion is accurately reflected in the term sheet. In particular, it should be clear whether these instruments will convert into the same preferred stock as is being issued to the new investors or into a "shadow" or "subseries" of preferred stock with identical rights but with a different liquidation preference that reflects the amount actually invested by the earlier investors by disregarding any discount applied to the purchase price of the preferred stock when the instruments convert. If the convertible instruments convert into the same preferred stock as is being issued to the new investors, then the liquidation preference of the conversion shares will actually be greater than the amount paid for the convertible instrument leading to a windfall for the investor in certain liquidation scenarios, so if possible you should push to have the convertible instruments convert into a separate class of preferred stock.

Third, the employee option pool referenced in the description of "Pre-Money Valuation" is typically set between 10% and 20% of a company's fully-diluted post-money capitalization at the time of a Series A financing. The principal factor in determining the size of the pool should be the need to incentivize current and future employees, so a company with a strong core team already in place should not need as large a pool. If the pool seems large to you, your investors may have a different expectation about the future growth of the company. The goal should be to establish a pool that is the right size to meet the company's needs for the foreseeable future.

Finally, it is also important to note how the pre- and post- money valuations of the company are impacted by the employee option pool. The NVCA model term sheet treats the option pool as part of the pre-money valuation, resulting in an illusory increase in the pre-money valuation, which the guys at Venture Hacks have dubbed the "Option Pool Shuffle" (www.venturehacks.com/option-pool-shuffle). There is nothing inherently wrong with including an option pool in the pre-money valuation, but it is important for entrepreneurs to understand that doing so has real economic impact. To

ANATOMY OF A TERM SHEET

illustrate, consider a pre-money valuation of \$5 million that does not include an option pool and a pre-money valuation of \$6 million that includes an option pool equal to 20% of the company's fully-diluted capital. In the latter case, the option pool accounts for \$1.2 million of the valuation, making the effective pre-money valuation only \$4.8 million. Check out the Venture Hacks article for a more in-depth discussion of the impacts of the Option Pool Shuffle.

CHARTER

The operative provisions in the NVCA's model term sheet are grouped according to the NVCA model financing document in which they are found, beginning with the Charter, which defines the rights and preferences of the shares being purchased in the financing. The next several sections in this pamphlet deal with the terms in the Charter.

Dividends

Dividend provisions are often overlooked by entrepreneurs, but can have a significant effect on the economics of a financing. The model term sheet includes three alternative dividend provisions, one providing that dividends will be paid only when also paid to the common stock (company favorable), and the others providing for "accruing" dividends on the preferred stock (investor favorable). In the second and third alternatives, the more company favorable formulation provides that the preferred stockholders have a right to receive a dividend "when and if declared by the Board." If this language is not included, the right to receive dividends is not contingent on Board approval and unpaid dividends simply remain as obligations of the company to the investors.

It is important to note that in practice even accruing dividends not requiring Board approval are never (in our experience) actually paid out in cash unless and until the company liquidates (and then only if there's enough cash available, which there often is not); rather, typically they eventually convert to common stock when the underlying preferred stock converts (we discuss conversion in the section on "Conversion and Anti-dilution"). Like interest on a debt, accruing dividends may "compound" periodically, meaning dividends accrue on dividends. The more frequently dividends compound, the faster they accrue.

The economic impact of dividends is most significant to the entrepreneur (and to the investor) if the company is eventually sold for a modest amount. If a company is wildly successful, the value of the accrued dividends relative to the rest of the company will be trivial, and if a company fails there won't be any money to pay the dividend on liquidation. Between these extremes, however, dividends can take a significant bite out of an entrepreneur's payout when a company is sold. Since few companies become wildly successful, entrepreneurs should try to eliminate accruing dividends, or at least reduce their effect by (a) keeping the dividend rate low (5-8% is the standard range in normal economic times), (b) insisting that the dividends do not compound and/or (c) providing that the

dividends do not begin to accrue until sometime in the future (typically 1-3 years from the date of the financing).

Liquidation Preference

We continue our discussion of the Charter provisions with the liquidation preference, which is the most important economic term in the term sheet after the valuation because it establishes the relative rights of the investors and the common stockholders with respect to assets (including intellectual property) available for distribution when the company winds up its business. While the term "liquidation preference" suggests the provision applies only if the company goes belly-up, in reality there is likely to be little to fight over if this happens. The real impact of the liquidation preference comes into play when there is a "Deemed Liquidation Event," such as an acquisition by another company, which generates cash or some other form of consideration or other assets (ex. stock of the acquiring company) to be divided among the stockholders.

The model term sheet includes three alternative provisions for the liquidation preference. They are (1) non-participating preferred stock (most company favorable), (2) full participating preferred stock (most investor favorable) and (3) participating preferred stock with a cap. In all three alternatives, preferred stockholders are entitled to receive a "preference" – typically some multiple of their original investment (1x to 3x) plus any accrued and unpaid dividends – before any payment is made to the common stockholders. "Participating" preferred stockholders are also entitled, after payment of their preference amount, to share with the common stockholders, on an as-converted-to-common basis, in the distribution of any remaining proceeds (this is called "double dipping"). If there is a right to participate with the common, the right may be capped at a multiple of the preferred stockholders original investment. It is important to note that investors will always have the option to convert their preferred stock to common stock if it would result in a larger payout, which could be the case with non-participating preferred and participating preferred with a cap if the amount available for distribution exceeds the preference amount or the cap, as applicable. Thus, investors will never receive less in liquidation than they would have if they simply owned common stock. It is also important to note, however, that the payment of the liquidation preference when there is a liquidation or Deemed Liquidation Event can typically be waived by holders of a majority of the outstanding preferred stock, which may allow the company to negotiate to reduce or eliminate payment of the preference in certain circumstances.

ANATOMY OF A TERM SHEET

As with dividends, the economic impact of the preference and the participation rights depends on the company's eventual fate. When evaluating a term sheet, it's a good idea to do some quick math to determine what the different groups of stockholders (common and preferred) would take home if the company were sold for different values (for this exercise, assume the entire proceeds of the sale go to the stockholders). Then see how changing the proposed preference and participation rights impacts these results.

Entrepreneurs should note that investors may find it counterproductive to impose a very investor-favorable liquidation preference on a company for two reasons. First, it reduces the founders' economic incentive to build the business. Second, later investors will likely want similar terms, which would leave the earlier investor negatively impacted by the same terms it imposed on the company. Don't be afraid to raise these points (particularly the first one) in negotiating the liquidation preference, but also be prepared to make tradeoffs, if necessary: if your potential investor insists on having a participation right, focus on pushing down the liquidation preference and adding a cap on participation; if the potential investor wants a 3x liquidation preference, say no to participation.

Voting Rights and Protective Provisions

Voting Rights and Protective Provisions define when investors vote with the other stockholders and when they have the right to a separate vote. Having separate voting rights in certain circumstances is important to investors because it prevents them from being outvoted by other stockholders with competing interests. The circumstances in which investors have the right to a separate vote will typically include at least (a) significant corporate events (ex. a sale of the company) and (b) actions that could adversely affect the rights specific to such investors (ex. amending the corporate Charter or changing the composition of the Board of Directors). Sometimes more company-specific protective provisions will be included, such as the sale of a specific division of the company's business or whether the company can create and distribute cryptocurrency. Note that the scope of the protective provisions should be commensurate with the size of the investment, so angel investors should not necessarily have the right to a separate vote on actions that typically require a separate vote by a VC investor, such as taking on debt or changing the size of the Board of Directors.

Most of the time you should not expend any energy fretting over the protective provisions, but do watch out for two things. First, make sure the percentage required to approve any action subject to the protective provisions is

not so high as to make obtaining approval burdensome. Typically the threshold should be high enough so that approval of the lead investor(s) is always necessary, but not so high that a minor investor has a block. This becomes ever more important as the number of investors grows. Second, be sure the protective provisions don't unduly inhibit the company's freedom of action by requiring stockholder approval for routine matters. The Protective Provisions should protect the investors, not give them an additional means of controlling the company. If the investors are seeking a stockholder vote for day-to-day decisions, suggest instead that such decisions be made by the Board including the director(s) appointed by the investors. We discuss matters that typically require approval of the investors' director(s) in Management Rights and Investor Director Approval.

Conversion and Anti-dilution

In this section we look at when an investor's preferred stock may or must convert to common stock, and how the conversion ratio may be adjusted in certain circumstances.

Optional Conversion and Mandatory Conversion

Preferred stock typically converts to common stock either:

- (a) at the option of the stockholder ("Optional Conversion"); or
- (b) automatically (i) at the time of the company's initial public offering (usually subject to the public offering share price being at least X times the per share price paid by the investors) or (ii) if at least X% of the investors agree to convert all preferred stock held by all investors (both (i) and (ii) being examples of "Mandatory Conversion").

The conversion provisions are important to the investors – who do not want to be forced to convert before it is most advantageous to them – but of little consequence to the company, so they generally are not the subject of negotiation.

For a Mandatory Conversion upon an IPO the threshold public offering price, if there is one, is sometimes a topic of disagreement (typically 3x-5x the original purchase; with a higher threshold giving the investors more control over the timing and terms of an IPO), but it is important to keep in mind that investor approval will always be required for an IPO regardless of the threshold (either explicitly or because of the amendments to the corporate charter that will be required before the company goes

ANATOMY OF A TERM SHEET

public). The other issue of some concern to the company is what percentage of investors can compel all investors to convert to common. The company prefers that the percentage required is not so high as to make obtaining approval burdensome. Typically, the percentage required to force conversion is the same as that required to approve matters subject to the investors' Protective Provisions (discussed in Voting and Protective Provisions).

Anti-dilution Provisions

While the timing of conversion is not a very hot topic in negotiating a term sheet, the anti-dilution provision can be if the investors decide to play hardball. The ratio at which preferred stock converts to common stock is initially set at 1:1, but the ratio is typically subject to adjustment in a variety of circumstances. Certain adjustments merely compensate the investor for changes in the company's capital structure – for instance those caused by a stock split, reverse stock split or stock dividend – without altering the economics of the preferred stock. Other adjustments, however, are intended to protect the investor against dilution caused when the company issues shares at an effective price-per-share lower than the price-per-share paid by the investors (a future financing at a lower price is called a “down-round”). These adjustments are referred to as “price-based” anti-dilution protection.

Price-based anti-dilution protection operates by increasing the number of shares of common stock into which a share of preferred stock converts (i.e. it increases the conversion ratio) and has the effect of causing the company's common stockholders (who do not have anti-dilution protection) to be diluted twice: once by the issuance of the shares to the new stockholders and a second time as a result of the adjustment to the conversion price of the preferred stock. The anti-dilution protection provisions can, therefore, have a significant economic impact. By far the most common type of price-based anti-dilution protection found in angel and early-stage VC financings, and the only type described in the NVCA's model term sheet, is weighted average anti-dilution. A second and much more investor-favorable type of price-based anti-dilution, full ratchet anti-dilution, is not described in the NVCA's model term sheet but is included as an option in the model Certificate of Incorporation. Note that a third alternative – no price-based anti-dilution protection (company favorable) – is often seen in pre-VC financings, but almost never in VC deals.

The NVCA's decision not to include full-ratchet anti-dilution in the model term sheet (after including it for many years) reflects the fact that nearly 100% of Series A financing

transactions contain a weighted average anti-dilution provision. Nevertheless, it is important for entrepreneurs to understand how a full ratchet anti-dilution provision works and why it is so investor favorable. Full ratchet anti-dilution adjusts the conversion price of outstanding preferred stock to that of the stock being sold in the new offering, thereby putting the existing investors in the same position they would have been in if they had purchased their shares at the new, lower price per share. This is extremely favorable to the investor because the anti-dilution adjustment is in no way tied to the actual extent of the dilution suffered by the investor, so it should be strongly resisted by the company. If you receive a term sheet with a full-ratchet anti-dilution provision, it should be a red flag that the rest of the terms may be heavily investor favorable. Fortunately, few investors seek to impose full ratchet anti-dilution.

Weighted average anti-dilution reduces the conversion price of outstanding preferred stock in a proportionate manner taking into account both the number of shares being issued and the price per share. In this way the conversion ratio is adjusted to somewhere between the original ratio and the ratio that would apply after full ratchet anti-dilution protection. Weighted average anti-dilution may be either “broad” or “narrow” depending on whether certain derivative securities (such as options and warrants) are included in the calculation of the company's

Weighted Average Anti-Dilution Formula:

$$CP2 = CP1 * (A + B) \div (A + C)$$

“CP2” = Conversion price immediately after the new shares are issued.

“CP1” = Conversion price immediately before new shares are issued.

“A” = Number of shares of common stock outstanding immediately prior to issuing new shares (treating as outstanding all shares of Common Stock issuable upon exercise of options outstanding or upon conversion or exchange of convertible securities outstanding).

“B” = The aggregate consideration received for new shares, divided by CP1.

“C” = Number of new shares issued in the transaction.

ANATOMY OF A TERM SHEET

existing capital, with a “broad” formula resulting in less dilution adjustment (i.e., more company favorable) than a “narrow” formula. The NVCA term sheet presents a typical broad based anti-dilution formula: the number of shares outstanding for purposes of the formula (the “A” variable in the NVCA term sheet) includes not just common stock actually outstanding and common stock issuable on conversion of outstanding preferred stock, but also common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding options. The formula could be made broader by, for instance, including all shares of common stock that may be issued out of the company’s option pool (not just those covering options already granted). The formula could be made narrower by, for instance, only including common stock issuable upon exercise of outstanding options that have vested. In negotiating the term sheet, remember that while the breadth of a weighted average anti-dilution formula does matter, it is much less important than the choice between weighted average and full ratchet anti-dilution.

Regardless of the type of anti-dilution protection, the Charter typically includes a number of exceptions allowing a company to issue additional shares in specified circumstances without any adjustment to the conversion price of the outstanding preferred stock. The NVCA term sheet includes standard exceptions for (a) shares issued upon conversion of convertible securities (conversion does not result in further dilution), (b) stock splits, dividends and the like pertaining to the company’s common stock (pro rata adjustments for these events are provided for in the Optional and Mandatory Conversion provisions), (c) equity incentives for employees and others (i.e. shares issued out of the company’s option pool) and (d) shares issued in certain types of transactions. It is also common, and generally good for the company, to include a provision allowing X% of the investors to waive anti-dilution protection on behalf of all investors (the percentage required is typically the same as for compelling a mandatory conversion).

Of course, the best way to avoid the double-dilution created by anti-dilution provisions is to keep growing the value of your company so the stock price keeps rising. Herein lies an important lesson about negotiating valuation in a financing: a higher valuation increases the probability of a future down-round financing, so it may be better to accept a lower valuation that you are confident you can improve on before you’ll next need to raise capital.

Pay-to-Play

The Pay-to-Play provision is another term that can have

significant economic impact on the investors and the company, and it dovetails nicely from the discussion of Anti-dilution Provisions in the prior section, because in a down-round financing (where the company’s valuation is lower than in the prior round) it helps mitigate the negative impact of anti-dilution protections. A Pay-to-Play provision provides that any investor failing to fully exercise its “Preemptive Rights” to participate in a future financing (discussed later) will have some or all of its shares of preferred stock converted into common stock or into another class of preferred stock with lesser rights (losing certain rights in the process).

A Pay-to-Play provision is clearly company-favorable because it penalizes investors who do not participate in future rounds when the company needs more funding, but it also has positive consequences for those investors who do invest in future rounds because it prevents other investors from free-riding. Lead investors are often willing to accept a Pay-to-Play provision (and some even prefer to include one) where there is a syndicate of smaller investors who the lead investor wants to ensure will continue to play ball, particularly if the lead investor has the voting power to block any future financing where it does not want the Pay-to-Play to apply (see our earlier discussion of Voting Rights and Protective Provisions). Smaller investors, by contrast, are most likely to object to a Pay-to-Play.

Note that the Pay-to-Play can be applied to “up” or “down” rounds, though investors are usually much more willing to participate when the company’s valuation is on the rise.

Redemption Rights

The NVCA model term sheet includes a typical Redemption Rights provision entitling investors to require the company to repurchase all of the outstanding shares of stock held by the investors at a certain point in the future (typically five years from the date of a Series A financing, give or take a year or two). The redemption price is typically the original price paid by the investors plus any accrued and unpaid dividends. Exercising Redemption Rights usually requires approval of at least X% of the investors, where the applicable percentage is generally the same as that required to approve actions under the Series A Protective Provisions (discussed earlier), though sometimes the presumption is flipped such that redemption is required unless at least X% of the investors waive it (called “mandatory” Redemption Rights).

In theory, Redemption Rights are beneficial to investors because they provide an exit in the event the company

ANATOMY OF A TERM SHEET

turns out to be successful enough to survive, but not successful enough to go public or be acquired by the time the investors need liquidity (VC funds usually have a ten year lifespan). In practice, however, Redemption Rights are almost never exercised because even if the company is still around (and has not gone public) when the Redemption Rights mature, it probably does not have sufficient cash available to repurchase the investors' shares. Because Redemption Rights are of limited value in practice, Investors often do not bother requesting them. When they do request Redemption Rights, they will often insist that the Charter provide for penalties if the company fails to redeem the investors' shares when the Redemption Right is exercised – for instance, the conversion ratio may be increased (see the section on “Conversion and Anti-dilution”) or the investors may obtain the right to elect a majority of the company's Board of Directors until all the investors' shares are redeemed – but even the penalties are sometimes not enforced if the investors believe doing so would only further harm the company's prospects. The most important impact of the Redemption Rights (and any associated penalties), therefore, is that it gives the investors leverage to extract concessions from the company. For instance, the investors may use the threat of exercising their Redemption Rights to compel reluctant founders to take the company public or accept an acquisition offer.

Redemption Rights are typical in Series A financings (though not in earlier seed financings) and entrepreneurs should focus on minimizing their impact rather than eliminating them altogether. The impact of Redemption Rights can be reduced by (a) pushing for optional rather than mandatory Redemption Rights, (b) minimizing the interest rate to be accrued for any unredeemed shares (c) lengthening the time before the rights mature or trigger any other economic inducements for the company to redeem the shares (beware of anything in the term sheet that accelerates maturity in certain circumstances, such as a material change in the company's business), (d) providing that any payout in a redemption is made over a lengthy period of time (preferably at least 3 years) and (e) ensuring that the consequences of failing to redeem the investors' shares are not too draconian (for instance, where the investors earn interest on the unpaid amounts until redemption while still also accruing dividends on the unredeemed shares).

Finally, it is worth noting that on occasion the company can negotiate for a redemption right of its own, entitling it to call (i.e. require the sale back to the company of) the investors' shares at a future date, though the redemption price will necessarily be higher than for an investor Redemption Right.

STOCK PURCHASE AGREEMENT

In this section we move away from the Charter provisions to discuss the Stock Purchase Agreement (“SPA”), the primary purpose of which is evident from its title: it is the contract wherein the investors agree to buy the shares of stock that the company is offering to sell. The financial terms of the investment, including any portion of the investment subject to achievement of milestones, will be set forth in the SPA (see the discussion of Offering Terms in the Section on “Nature of a Term Sheet and Summary of Offering Terms”). The primary importance of the SPA, however, lies in the terms and conditions it places on the financing, which serve primarily to protect the investors.

Representations and Warranties

The primary way in which the SPA protects investors is through the inclusion of “Representations and Warranties” (“R&Ws”) about the company’s business. R&Ws are statements about facts existing at the time a contract is signed that are made by one party to induce the other party to enter into the agreement. In a financing, a company is typically required to make R&Ws about everything from the company’s capital structure to its ownership of relevant intellectual property and its compliance with applicable laws to ensure it has disclosed to the investors all information that might materially impact their decision to invest. If any of the R&Ws are later found to be incorrect, the company may be liable to the investors for damages. Note that it is generally accepted that investors will make R&Ws to the Company confirming their eligibility to participate in the offering (usually this means confirming they are “accredited investors” and are not disqualified from participating in the offering for any reason), though these R&Ws are not typically mentioned in the term sheet.

In most financings, the lawyers spend more time negotiating the R&Ws than any other section of the financing documents, but at the term sheet stage the only thing entrepreneurs usually need to worry about is whether and to what extent the company’s founders are being asked to personally make R&Ws about the company’s business. Founders’ R&Ws are most common where the founders are receiving some liquidity in the transaction (which is extremely rare in a Series A financing) or where there is particular concern over an important topic of disclosure, such as intellectual property. The principal rationale for requiring founder R&Ws in addition to company R&Ws is economic: any damages paid by the company to compensate the investors also reduce the value of the company, and therefore of the investors’ shares, while damages paid directly by the founders have

no impact on the value of the company. If the SPA does include founder R&Ws, the investors may insist that the founders put some or all of their shares of company stock in escrow as security in case there is a breach of the founder R&Ws.

While it is rare for a VC in the U.S. to require separate founder R&Ws, and they are no longer included as an option in the NVCA’s model term sheet or model SPA (they did appear in earlier versions), some investors still push the founders to make some R&Ws. If you receive a term sheet that includes founder R&Ws, there are many ways in which the R&Ws, and the founders’ liability for breaches of the R&Ws, can be limited; for example by: (a) limiting the categories about which the founders are required to make R&Ws, (b) providing that the R&Ws don’t survive (i.e. can’t be enforced) after a certain date (typically 6-24 months after the financing) or (c) capping the founders’ liability (often at an amount equal to the value of the founders’ ownership interest in the company). The appropriate type and scope of the limitations is, however, closely tied to the language of the R&Ws to be negotiated by the lawyers, so if an investor insists on providing for founder R&Ws in the term sheet, entrepreneurs are usually best off simply seeking to add language that those R&Ws will be subject to limitations to be negotiated and included in the final transaction documents. Finally, note that founder R&Ws are almost never appropriate beyond a Series A financing.

Counsel and Expenses

This section serves two purposes: (a) to specify which party’s lawyers will initially draft the transaction documents; and (b) to establish the extent to which the company will pay the investors’ legal fees. First, note that any advantage generally conferred by drafting is significantly diminished where, as in a typical Series A financing, the range of terms is fairly well understood and accepted; so if the investors insist that their counsel prepare the initial drafts of the financing documents, it is generally not worth arguing unless you believe it would be significantly more cost effective to have your lawyers draft the documents. Second, company payment of investor legal fees is also standard in venture financings (though not in earlier stage financings), but there are two ways in which companies often seek to limit their responsibility for such fees: by placing a cap on the dollar amount of the fees and/or by limiting or eliminating the obligation to pay fees if the transaction isn’t completed. Avoiding payment of fees if the transaction doesn’t close is typically more important to a company than capping the fees because absent completion of the financing the company likely will not have sufficient funds available to pay its own lawyers, much less the investors’ lawyers.

ANATOMY OF A TERM SHEET

Even if the company is not required to pay fees if the transaction doesn't close, a fee cap is still a reasonable request and can serve to discourage over-lawyering.

INVESTORS' RIGHTS AGREEMENT

The next several sections concern the provisions located in the “Investor Rights Agreement,” “Right of First Refusal and Co-Sale Agreement” and “Voting Agreement,” which together give the investors a variety of contractual rights vis-à-vis the company and the company’s other stockholders. While the provisions contained in these three agreements are common to most VC financings, it is important to note that the titles of the agreements and the mix of provisions in each agreement can vary. We begin with the Investor Rights Agreement.

Registration Rights

The Registration Rights provisions in the NVCA term sheet give the investors the right to make the company register their shares with the Securities and Exchange Commission, which is a prerequisite to selling shares in the public markets (i.e. NYSE, Nasdaq, etc.). There are three types of registration rights typically granted to investors: (1) Demand Registration allows the investors to compel registration of their shares after some period of time following the offering, subject to certain conditions; (2) S-3 Registration allows the investors to compel registration at any time if the company meets the eligibility requirements for an “S-3” registration statement (which usually means that the company is already publicly traded); and (3) Piggyback Registration allows the investors to include their shares in any other registration of securities the company undertakes, subject to limitations on the number of shares that can be registered in some circumstances. The remaining Registration Rights provisions in the NVCA term sheet, none of which is generally the subject of negotiation, are “Expenses,” which compels the company to pay the cost of a registration (which can be significant), “Lock-up,” whereby the investors agree that they will not sell their shares for a given period of time after the company’s initial public offering, and “Termination,” which specifies when the rights terminate.

Of the three types of registration rights, Demand Registration rights are by far the most important because the investors can compel the company to undertake the costly and time-consuming process of an initial public offering. From a strategic standpoint, however, Demand Registration rights are very similar to Redemption Rights: while they give the investors an exit opportunity, in practice they are almost never exercised because if the company has not gone public it is likely because either the company is not ready or the market conditions are not favorable. As with Redemption Rights, Demand Registration rights give the investors leverage against the

company that they can use to extract concessions at a later date.

Registration rights are standard in a Series A financing and, as noted above, of limited consequence to the company, so any negotiation is usually best left to after the term sheet is signed. If investors in a pre-Series A financing require registration rights, the company should insist that they agree up-front to subordinate those rights to the registration rights of future venture capital investors. The points that are sometimes negotiated at the term sheet stage are: (1) the threshold percentage of investors required to trigger Demand Registration (see the discussion of voting thresholds in the section on “Voting Rights and Protective Provisions”); (2) the earliest date the investors may exercise Demand Registration rights (5 years from the date of the financing is typical for a Series A financing, which may be reduced as low as 3 years for later-stage venture rounds); (3) the number of times the investors may exercise Demand Registration rights (typically 1-2 total) and the frequency with which the investors may exercise S-3 Registration rights (typically 1-2 per year); and (4) the minimum aggregate offering price for any Demand Registration (typically the same threshold as would trigger a Mandatory Conversion) or S-3 Registration (should be no less than \$1M). Note that the threshold percentage of investors required to trigger S-3 Registration is less important (and typically much lower) than for Demand Registration because the burden on the company is much less.

Finally, it is worth noting that companies are sometimes able to negotiate for S-3 and Piggyback Registration rights for founders and even for other common stockholders, provided that these rights are subordinated to the investors’ registration rights. Without registration rights, common stockholders must wait to sell their shares to the public until either they qualify for an exemption from registration, which usually comes with inconvenient conditions and restrictions, or the Board of Directors decides to register their shares, so obtaining registration rights for some or all of the company’s common stockholders is arguably more important than attempting to restrict the registration rights of the investors.

Management Rights and Investor Director Approval

If you’re following along with the NVCA term sheet, please note that we’ve combined the discussion of “Management and Information Rights” and “Matters Requiring Preferred Director Approval” into one section because they both relate to the role of investors in the management of the company. We’ll return to the “Right to Participate Pro Rata in Future Rounds” provisions

ANATOMY OF A TERM SHEET

(which fall between this section's two topics in the NVCA term sheet) in the next section.

Management and Information Rights

Management and Information Rights serve to ensure that even those investors who will not have the right to appoint a member of the company's Board of Directors are able to obtain certain information about the operation and finances of the company. The obvious reason investors insist on receiving these rights is that they want to keep tabs on the companies in which they invest, but this is not why some investors require a "Management Rights letter" from the company. Without going into too much extraneous detail, receipt of a Management Rights letter is necessary for any venture capital fund that manages assets subject to the Employee Retirement Security Act of 1974 (ERISA), which many VC funds do, because such funds must have certain management rights in their portfolio companies to avoid being subject to certain obligations under ERISA. Note that while information rights are generally dealt with in the Investor Rights Agreement itself, the Management Rights letter is actually a separate document.

Management and information rights should be non-controversial and typically are not the subject of negotiation at the term sheet stage. If the round includes a number of small investors, the company (and the lead, i.e. "Major," investors) may want to limit who is entitled to management and information rights; though providing rights to a few additional investors is usually of minimal practical consequence to the company. The frequency and timing with which the company is required to deliver information to the investors (typically within 30-45 days following the end of each month or quarter and within 90-120 days following the end of each fiscal year) is also of little practical consequence because companies are often producing this information for internal purposes anyway. Later-stage companies may also be required to deliver audited financials following the end of each fiscal year, which is a material additional expense for the company, but audited financials are rarely requested, and almost never appropriate, for early-stage companies. Note that any investors who have information rights should be required to agree to keep the information they receive confidential, and a standard confidentiality provision should be included in the Investor Rights Agreement.

Preferred Director Approval

The Investor Director Approval provisions are, along with the Protective Provisions we discussed previously, the primary mechanism for the investors to exert control over the activities of the corporation. Approval of the investors' director(s) is often required for matters that could materially impact the company where seeking stockholder approval would either be inappropriate (because of the subject matter) or unduly burdensome. The NVCA term sheet includes a laundry list of matters that may require approval of the investors' director(s), but the list is by no means exhaustive.

While companies are better off minimizing the decisions requiring approval of the investors (through the Protective Provisions) or their directors, being required to obtain approval of directors is preferable to being required to obtain stockholder approval for two reasons. First, the procedure for obtaining director approval is much simpler than for obtaining stockholder approval. Second, and arguably more important, directors have certain "fiduciary duties" towards the company and its stockholders (all of them) that prohibit them from putting their own interests ahead of the company's, whereas stockholders are almost always entitled to act selfishly. Therefore, in negotiating the Investor Director Approval provisions it is a good idea to be pragmatic: attempt to eliminate from the approval requirement any actions that should be routine, but don't get too worked up over the need to obtain approval of the investors' director(s) for matters that are likely to only arise periodically.

Right to Participate Pro Rata in Future Rounds (a/k/a Preemptive Rights)

As a preliminary matter, note that the "Right to Participate Pro Rata in Future Rounds" is more commonly referred to as "Preemptive Rights" or the "Right of First Offer." They are also sometimes referred to as the "Right of First Refusal," though this term is more often used to refer to the right to purchase shares offered for resale by a stockholder (which is covered later).

Preemptive Rights give investors the first right to purchase securities offered for sale by the corporation in the future, subject to a few exceptions (typically the same as the exceptions to the Anti-dilution Provisions). There are three basic varieties of Preemptive Rights: (a) each investor is entitled to purchase just that portion of the offered securities necessary to allow it to maintain its

ANATOMY OF A TERM SHEET

percentage ownership of the company (i.e. if the investor owns 10% of the company before the offering, she would be entitled to purchase 10% of the new securities being offered), (b) each investor may purchase some multiple of its pro rata portion (i.e., if a 2X right, an investor owning 10% of the company before the offering would be entitled to purchase 20% of the securities offered) or (c) the investors, collectively, are entitled purchase all of the securities offered by the corporation and each investor is entitled to purchase its pro rata portion of the total based on ownership relative to other investors with Preemptive Rights. In all three varieties, investors may also have the right to purchase a pro rata portion of any securities not subscribed for by other investors with Preemptive Rights (this is called an “Over-Allotment Right”).

Preemptive Rights are standard in Series A deals, but it is generally in the company’s interest to limit their scope so it has greater flexibility to raise money from outside investors. Ideally this means only giving investors the right to maintain their pro rata ownership in the company, though an Over-Allotment Right is often granted, as in the NVCA term sheet, so the investors as a whole have the opportunity to maintain their pro rata ownership even if not all investors elect to participate. Another way Preemptive Rights are sometimes limited is by only granting them to “Major” investors, usually being venture capitalists and large angel investors. Note that limiting the scope of the Preemptive Rights is considerably less important where the investors are subject to a Pay-to-Pay. Where there is no Pay-to-Play, the company (and sometimes the lead investors) may try to include a “use it or lose it” provision so that investors who do not fully exercise their Preemptive Rights lose them for future rounds.

Other Investor Protective Provisions

We finish up our discussion of the Investor Rights Agreement with a quick overview of the remaining provisions, which typically are not the subject of much negotiation.

Non-Competition Agreements – Investors will almost always insist that the company’s founders and any other key employees agree not to compete with the company for 1 - 2 years after they leave the company for any reason. Of course, founders/employees have an interest in keeping the term of their non-competes as short as possible, but founders should also realize that as long as they are with the company (and usually they expect to

be for a long time) they benefit if former employees are subject to non-competes for longer terms.

Non-competition restrictions may be subject to various limitations imposed by the state where the company is headquartered or the employee works. States generally require that these restrictions be reasonably limited with respect to duration and geographic scope, but some states have added additional worker protections. For example, California generally will not enforce any non-competition and/or non-solicitation agreement following an employee’s termination from a company and instead encourages employers to rely on non-disclosure agreements to protect trade secrets. In Massachusetts, non-competition agreements must be less than one year in duration and be geographically limited to where the former employee provided services. Additionally, Massachusetts requires that employers pay former employees a “garden leave” payment equal to at least 50% of such former employee’s salary for the duration of the non-compete.

Non-Disclosure, Non-Solicitation and Developments Agreement – In any financing with sophisticated investors, the company will be required to ensure that all the company’s founders and most, if not all, the company’s employee’s and consultants have entered into, or enter into prior to the closing of the financing, an agreement protecting the company’s confidential information, acknowledging that intellectual property developed by the person that relates to the company’s business belongs to the company, and agreeing that they will not solicit the company’s employees, customers or other business contacts for a period of time after leaving the company. These requirements are typically not controversial and therefore not negotiated at the term sheet stage.

Board Matters – This provision deals with membership on Board committees, reimbursement of expenses incurred by directors, obtaining Directors & Officers (D&O) insurance and director indemnification. These matters are typically of little consequence and any issues should be left to the lawyers to hash out when negotiating the final transaction documents. The only thing worth noting is that the company should insist that any indemnification offered to the investors’ director(s) is provided to all directors, so that other directors may benefit from this protection as well.

Employee Stock Options – Employee stock options for technology companies typically vest over four years, with 25% of the options vesting after one year and the remaining options vesting monthly or quarterly over

ANATOMY OF A TERM SHEET

the following three years. As we noted in the section discussing the “Nature of a Term Sheet and Summary of Offering Terms,” the size of the employee option pool is typically set at around 10 - 20% of the company’s fully-diluted capital post-financing, give or take a few percentage points, at the time of a Series A.

RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL/CO-SALE AGREEMENT

While the Investor Rights Agreement deals with the rights of the investors vis-à-vis the company, the Right of First Refusal and Co-Sale Agreement gives the company and the investors certain rights vis-à-vis the company's common stockholders. The principal rights conferred are the eponymous Right of First Refusal ("ROFR" – rhymes with gopher) and Right of Co-Sale (a/k/a "Take-Me-Along" or "Tag Along"), both of which apply to any proposed sale of stock by common stockholders prior to the company's initial public offering.

Right of First Refusal

The NVCA term sheet includes a standard ROFR provision where the company has the first right to purchase shares offered for sale by common stockholders and the investors have the right to purchase any shares the company does not elect to purchase. The ROFR order of priority may be reversed so that the investors' right precedes that of the company. The impact of reversing the order is essentially economic: if an investor purchases shares it pays the purchase price out-of-pocket, so the money doesn't drain the company's coffers, but the investor also increases its ownership interest relative to all other stockholders. A repurchase by the company results in a proportionate increase in the value of shares held by all stockholders.

The NVCA term sheet also gives investors an oversubscription right to purchase a pro rata portion of any shares subject to the ROFR that are not purchased by other investors (this is akin to the Over-Allotment Right that arises in the context of the investors' Preemptive Rights). The oversubscription right is particularly important to investors if, as is often the case, the ROFR must be exercised, collectively by the company and the investors, with respect to all shares proposed to be transferred in order to be given effect. This "all-or-none" restriction on the ROFR is beneficial to selling stockholders, especially those with a large equity stake in the company, because it prevents the company or the investors from dissuading a potential buyer (who may wish to obtain the selling stockholders entire equity stake in the company) by exercising the ROFR with respect to a portion of the shares offered for sale.

Note that in some cases, particularly where there are a number of smaller investors, the ROFR may be applied to the investors as well as the common stockholders. This is generally an inter-investor matter that has little practical significance to the company and the founders.

Right of Co-Sale

Where the ROFR gives investors the opportunity to purchase shares offered for sale, the Tag Along gives them the right to sell their shares (on an as-converted-to-common-stock basis, if necessary) to a purchaser alongside the prospective seller. The Tag Along comes into play to the extent shares offered for sale are not purchased through the ROFR, and it applies pro rata based on the relative ownership interest of the investors and the selling stockholder. As with the ROFR, the Tag Along may be applied to the sale of shares by investors as well as common stockholders, but unlike the ROFR there is never an oversubscription right if certain investors elect not to exercise the Tag Along.

Neither the ROFR nor the Tag Along is typically the subject of discussion at the term sheet stage, and there is rarely much negotiation when the transaction documents are drafted. There are, however, a few issues to consider. First, the common stockholders who must become party to the Right of First Refusal and Co-Sale Agreement (and therefore subject to its restrictions) may be limited to a specific group of stockholders (ex. the founders and executive officers) or to stockholders holding at least a minimum percentage of the company's fully-diluted equity ownership (sometimes as low as 1%). The smaller the number of stockholders subject to the agreement, the easier it is to administer; both because the company does not need to require every stockholder to sign the agreement and because not every little transfer will trigger the ROFR and Tag Along. Likewise, where there are a number of small investors it may be beneficial – to the company and to the lead investors – to only give ROFR and Tag Along rights to the larger investors. Again, this eases the administrative burden on the company when the rights are triggered. Finally, both the ROFR and the Tag Along are usually subject to standard exceptions to permit stockholders to transfer shares for limited purposes, such as estate planning. It is also increasingly common to include a "limited liquidity" exception allowing founders to sell a small percentage of their shares without restrictions.

VOTING AGREEMENT

The NVCA model Series A financing documents cover two common provisions in the Voting Agreement: (1) the agreement among the stockholders to elect certain individuals to the company's Board of Directors, which we deal with in this section, and (2) the Drag Along, which we cover in the next section.

Board of Directors

The Board plays a pivotal role in the management of a company because it oversees the company's officers (and has the power to replace them) and because Board approval is required for many corporate actions, including any action that materially impacts the corporation's business. Not surprisingly, then, the composition of a company's Board can be a contentious point of negotiation in a financing.

After a Series A financing, a company's Board will typically consist of three or five directors, with one or two directors elected by the investors, an equal number elected by the common stockholders (including the founders), and one director elected by all of the stockholders voting together. Since the common stockholders often control a majority of a company's voting shares even after a Series A financing, all other things being equal the balance of power on the Board would favor the common stockholders because they would control the election of the last director. Although the right to elect a director or two, combined with the Investor Director Approval provisions, would give investors significant influence over Board decisions, in many instances the investors' director(s) could be outvoted. To exert additional control over the Board, therefore, at the time of a financing investors typically seek to require that the company's common stockholders agree on who will have the right to designate each director, and agree to vote their shares in favor of the election of each designee.

The Board of Directors section of the NVCA term sheet contemplates a typical five-person Board of Directors comprised of two directors designated by the investors, one director designated by the common stockholders, the company's CEO and one "independent" director who is not an employee of the company and who is "mutually acceptable" to the other directors. A three person Board might consist of one investor director, one founder director and one independent. Both of these scenarios enhance the investors' influence over the Board by giving them a say in the selection of directors who they do not have the sole power to elect. First, the investors gain a veto over the selection of the independent director, who otherwise

would be selected by a simple majority vote. Second, where the company will have a five-person Board the investors ensure that one of the directors elected by the common stockholders will be the CEO. While the CEO is usually one of the founders at the time of the financing, as a company grows a founder-CEO may be replaced by an outsider who the investors will have considerable influence in selecting (recall that the hiring and firing of executive officers is typically one of the matters requiring approval of the investors' director(s)).

Entrepreneurs should be cautious when negotiating the post-financing composition of the Board with investors. Some investors can add significant value to a company as members of the Board, but you do not want to give up complete control. Seed and angel investors often do not receive the right to elect any directors, and should be offered at most a minority position on the Board. In a venture capital financing in which the investors will own less than 50% of the company following the financing, founders can try to argue that the common stockholders should have the right to designate a majority of the Board (2 of 3 or 3 of 5), but this argument is likely to meet with stiff resistance and could backfire if the investors later come to own more than 50% of the company. Rather, it may be more effective to take steps to ensure the Board composition and decision-making remain as evenly balanced as possible by, for example: (a) requiring that the independent director and any new CEO be approved by unanimous consent of the other directors (which would necessarily include any director designated by the founders; (b) insisting that certain major corporate actions be approved by the director(s) designated by the founders, as well as the director(s) designated by the investors; and (c) if the CEO at the time of the financing is a founder, negotiating an employment contract for the founder-CEO that makes it difficult for the company to terminate her without "cause" (i.e. bad acts by the founder), where "cause" is narrowly defined.

There are two other things to keep in mind about the size and composition of the Board. First, in certain states the minimum number of directors required to take a valid action is based on the number of Board seats, rather than the number of directors then elected. If the company is incorporated in such a state, it is important not to create more Board seats than the company intends to fill. Second, while Boards typically consist of an odd number of directors to reduce the likelihood of deadlocks, it may still be beneficial for the company and the investors if the term sheet calls for a tie-breaker provision to be included in the final transaction documents, though the mechanics of the provision are typically not negotiated before the term sheet is signed.

ANATOMY OF A TERM SHEET

Drag Along

A Drag Along provision (also known as a “Bring-Along”) compels a group of stockholders to vote in favor of a transaction approved by another group of stockholders and/or the company’s Board of Directors. A Drag Along is most often used to “drag” minority stockholders and can be particularly important in a transaction, such as a merger or a stock tender offer, where approval by all or almost all stockholders may be required. It can also be used, however, to allow a minority of stockholders to drag the majority; which is often the case in the context of a financing where the Drag Along may require most or all stockholders to vote in favor of any Deemed Liquidation Event or other sale transaction approved by the investors.

Venture capitalists typically insist on a Drag Along right because it facilitates a potential exit by preventing the common stockholders from thwarting a sale of the company. The Drag Along is most likely to be exercised if a company is presented with a modest acquisition offer where the common stockholders would receive little or nothing from the transaction after payment of the investors’ Liquidation Preference, but it might be exercised anytime the differing business and economic goals and incentives of the investors’ and common stockholders cause them to disagree about the merits of a potential acquisition. In such a scenario, the investors want the ability to compel the common stockholders to approve the transaction if the investors conclude it is in their (the investors’) best interest. In essence, the Drag Along gives the investors the ability to impose their outlook for the company on the common stockholders.

A Drag Along provision can be a tough pill for founders to swallow, but it has become commonplace (though not universal) in venture deals and tends to get renewed attention anytime there is a economic downturn because investors become extra sensitive to the need for potential exits. There are a number of ways, however, that a standard investor Drag Along right may be modified to make it less draconian. At the term sheet stage, the primary means of softening the Drag Along right are: (1) providing that exercise of the right requires a vote of all stockholders, not just the investors; (2) setting a higher threshold for such a vote (the threshold typically ranges from a majority to 67% of the stockholders entitled to vote); and (3) providing that approval of the company’s Board of Directors is also required to trigger the Drag Along (but recall from the section on “Election of the

Board of Directors” that investors can have significant influence on the Board). Other limitations on the Drag Along, usually negotiated by the lawyers during the

drafting of the transaction documents, may restrict the terms and conditions of a transaction in which the Drag Along is exercised.

Note that if the investors agree to require a vote of all stockholders to trigger the Drag Along, the threshold should not be set so high as to allow a small group of common stockholders to thwart a transaction.

OTHER MATTERS

Vesting of Founders' Stock

Investors often want at least a portion of the stock owned by each founder of a company to be subject to vesting and a corresponding company buyback right if the founder ceases to be employed by the company within a certain period of time after a financing (the “vesting period”). The purpose of the buyback is to incentivize the founder to continue working for the company until the end of the vesting period (when a new equity incentive grant is usually made). This benefits not only the investors, but also the other stockholders (including the other founders) because shares repurchased by the company upon the departure of a founder will proportionately increase the value of all remaining shares.

The standard vesting term for equity incentive grants in an early stage company, such as options granted to employees, is four years, with 25% of the grant vesting after one year (this is called a “cliff”) and the remainder vesting monthly or quarterly over the remaining three years. The NVCA term sheet’s “Founders’ Stock” provision follows this basic formula for the vesting of founders’ stock. If, however, the founders have worked for the company for a reasonable period of time before the financing (typically a year or more before a Series A financing), investors are often willing to exempt a portion of each founder’s shares from vesting (usually up to 25%), while allowing the remainder to vest monthly over three to four years (with no cliff). In addition, founders are often able to negotiate for full or partial acceleration of vesting if (a) the founder quits for “good reason” (generally defined as actions by the company that adversely affect the founder’s employment), (b) the company fires the founder *without* “cause” (generally defined as bad acts by the founder) or (c) the company is acquired. In the case of an acquisition, acceleration may apply upon the occurrence of the acquisition (called “single trigger” acceleration) or only if the founder’s employment is terminated (usually *without cause* or *for good reason*) within a certain period of time after the acquisition (called “double trigger” acceleration). The specifics of accelerated vesting – including the definitions of “cause” and “good reason” and the choice of single or double trigger acceleration – are typically negotiated during the drafting of the transaction documents rather than at the term sheet stage.

It is also important to understand the extent of the company’s buyback right. The company will always have the right to repurchase any unvested shares from a founder if the founder’s employment terminates for any

reason (typically at the price the founder paid for such shares), but some investors may also want the company to have the right to buy back *vested* shares (typically at a price equal to the fair market value of the company’s common stock at the time of termination). Founders should strongly resist giving the company the right to buy back vested shares under any circumstances, but founders sometimes agree to allow the company to buy back vested shares upon termination *for cause* in exchange for acceleration of vesting if the founder’s employment is terminated *without cause* or *for good reason*.

No Shop and Confidentiality

In the first section of this pamphlet, we noted that the No Shop/Confidentiality provision is the only provision in the term sheet that is usually “binding” on the company and the investors – meaning it is enforceable even if the rest of the contemplated financing is never completed. It is also the last provision of the NVCA term sheet we will cover as the other two provisions – “Existing Preferred Stock” and “Expiration” – are not negotiated terms.

The implications of the both portions of the No Shop/Confidentiality provision are straightforward. The “No Shop” portion requires the company to refrain from actively pursuing any other investment or any sale of the company for a set period of time after the term sheet is signed. The “Confidentiality” portion prohibits the company from disclosing the terms of the term sheet, except on a need-to-know basis. Most of the time the only point of negotiation is the length of the No Shop period. This ranges from 30 to 90 days, but is typically 45 or 60 days (in our experience). If the No Shop is shorter than 45 days, there’s a good chance it will expire before the transaction closes. A No Shop greater than 60 days allows the transaction to drag on too long. Once the term sheet is signed, both sides are usually anxious to get the transaction closed as quickly as possible.

KEY TAKEAWAYS AND OTHER RESOURCES

In the introduction to this pamphlet we said that our goal was to give readers the ability to better evaluate financing term sheets. We sincerely hope we've been able to shed at least a little light on the subject and we welcome your questions on any topic that is still a mystery. We close with a summary of the most important points we've covered and a list of other great online resources for information about financing and other subjects important to entrepreneurs and startups.

Key Takeaways

1. Broadly speaking, the main areas of negotiation between entrepreneurs and investors are:
(a) economics of the investment – valuation, dividends, liquidation preference, anti-dilution and redemption rights; and (b) control of the company – voting and protective provisions, composition of the Board, preemptive rights, pay-to-play, drag-along and vesting of founders' stock.
2. In addition to valuation, dividends and liquidation preference can have a significant impact on the relative economic rights of the founders and the investors. It is important to understand the interplay among these provisions when evaluating proposed terms.
3. The employee option pool should be sufficient to satisfy the company's need to incentivize employees and other service providers for the foreseeable future. Be sure to understand whether the option pool is included in the pre- or post-money valuation and how this impacts the economics of the transaction.
4. Obtaining approval for corporate actions from the directors designated by the investors is procedurally much simpler than obtaining consent from the investors themselves. Ideally, investors should only get a separate stockholder vote on major corporate actions, such as a sale of the company.
5. Full ratchet anti-dilution is very investor favorable; weighted average anti-dilution is more common.
6. A Pay-to-Play can help mitigate the negative impact of anti-dilution protections in a down-round financing.

7. If the company is paying the investor's legal fees, try to include a cap on those fees in the term sheet.
8. Don't try to negotiate-away the investors' Registration Rights, but do try to include Registration Rights for the founders.
9. Preemptive Rights should not preclude the company from raising money from new investors.
10. A company's Board of Directors has significant control over its business, so it is important to understand how the composition of the Board and the process of designating directors impact the balance of power between the founders and the investors.
11. It is important to try to negotiate limits on an investor Drag-Along to prevent the founders and other common stockholders from being forced into a fire-sale.
12. If founders' stock will be subject to vesting following the financing, a portion of the founders' shares should be vested immediately to account for time-served and founders should seek to have the remainder vest monthly over no more than three years.

Other Resources

We are hardly the first to try to distill investment terms. Here are a few other excellent resources with great information on financing terms and other matters relevant to entrepreneurs and startups:

1. Brad Feld:
feld.com/archives/category/term-sheet
2. Venture Hacks:
venturehacks.com
3. NextView Ventures:
<http://nextviewventures.com/blog/category/fundraising/>
4. Mark Suster:
<https://bothsidesofthetable.com/tagged/venture-capital>
5. Fred Wilson:
<http://avc.com/>



Benjamin M. Hron // Boston

Partner

T: 617.449.6584

F: 617.326.3074

bhron@mccarter.com

Ben Hron is a business law attorney whose practice focuses on representing companies on general corporate matters, debt and equity financing, mergers and acquisitions, securities law compliance and joint ventures. Ben also represents private equity and venture capital funds, angel investors and financial institutions in connection with the financing of public and private companies.

Ben serves as outside general counsel for a number of his clients, advising company management on legal issues ranging from day-to-day matters to large strategic initiatives. He also coordinates and supervises the work of experts in other practice areas when appropriate. In addition to working with established companies, Ben has extensive experience working with entrepreneurs and startups, often getting involved when a business is still in its infancy and helping guide the founders through the formative early stages of their company's development. The experience of co-founding and growing his own law firm, VC Ready Law Group, which he ran from 2009 to 2011 prior to joining McCarter, has helped Ben better understand and address the issues facing many of his clients.

As counsel to investors and financial institutions, Ben helps structure and negotiate debt and equity financing transactions, including venture capital, growth capital, asset-based loans and distressed debt workouts. He also frequently writes and lectures on the laws and regulations governing financing transactions and recent trends in deal terms.

Ben was co-chair of the Securities Law Committee of the Boston Bar Association from 2013 to 2015 and co-chair of the BBA's Venture Capital and Emerging Companies Committee from 2015 to 2017. From 2011 to 2017 he hosted a McCarter & English seminar series for entrepreneurs at the Cambridge Innovation Center.

Other Recent Publications and Presentations

Crowdfunding 2.0, December 2020

The New Rules for Raising Seed Capital, December 2020

The More the Merrier: SEC Amends Crowdfunding Rules to Increase Investment Limits, November 2020

Finders Keepers: SEC Proposes to Allow Finders to Receive Transaction-Based Compensation in Certain Limited Circumstances, October 2020

How to Raise Your Seed Round, September 2020

Navigating Cofounder Agreements, September 2020

Affiliation Rules and the Paycheck Protection Program, April 2020

Appendix A
NVCA Model Term Sheet

This sample document is the work product of a national coalition of attorneys who specialize in venture capital financings, working under the auspices of the NVCA. This document is intended to serve as a starting point only, and should be tailored to meet your specific requirements. This document should not be construed as legal advice for any particular facts or circumstances. Note that this sample document presents an array of (often mutually exclusive) options with respect to particular deal provisions.

Preliminary Note

This term sheet maps to the NVCA Model Documents, and for convenience the provisions are grouped according to the particular Model Document in which they may be found. Although this term sheet is somewhat longer than a “typical” VC Term Sheet, the aim is to provide a level of detail that makes the term sheet useful as both a road map for the document drafters and as a reference source for the business people to quickly find deal terms without the necessity of having to consult the legal documents (assuming of course there have been no changes to the material deal terms prior to execution of the final documents). For Series B and later transactions, consider substantially shortening to refer to deal terms being “consistent with prior rounds, subject to reasonable review by Lead Investor” (as noted in the prior sentence, deal terms often are negotiated further between term sheet and closing, so relying on a term sheet for one round in a later round may prove inaccurate).

**TERM SHEET
FOR SERIES A PREFERRED STOCK FINANCING OF
[INSERT COMPANY NAME], INC.
[_____, 20__]**

This Term Sheet summarizes the principal terms of the Series A Preferred Stock Financing of [_____], Inc., a [Delaware] corporation (the “**Company**”). In consideration of the time and expense devoted and to be devoted by the Investors with respect to this investment, the No Shop/Confidentiality provisions of this Term Sheet shall be binding obligations of the Company whether or not the financing is consummated. No other legally binding obligations will be created until definitive agreements are executed and delivered by all parties. This Term Sheet is not a commitment to invest, and is conditioned on the completion of the conditions to closing set forth below. This Term Sheet shall be governed in all respects by the laws of [_____].¹

Offering Terms

Security: Series A Preferred Stock (the “**Series A Preferred**”).

¹ Because a “nonbinding” term sheet governed by the law of a jurisdiction such as Delaware, New York or the District of Columbia may in fact create an enforceable obligation to negotiate in good faith to come to agreement on the terms set forth in the term sheet, parties should give consideration to the choice of law selected to govern the term sheet. Compare *SIGA Techs., Inc. v. PharmAthene, Inc.*, Case No. C.A. 2627 (Del. Supreme Court May 24, 2013) (holding that where parties agreed to negotiate in good faith in accordance with a term sheet, that obligation was enforceable notwithstanding the fact that the term sheet itself was not signed and contained a footer on each page stating “Non Binding Terms”); *EQT Infrastructure Ltd. v. Smith*, 861 F. Supp. 2d 220 (S.D.N.Y. 2012); *Stanford Hotels Corp. v. Potomac Creek Assocs., L.P.*, 18 A.3d 725 (D.C. App. 2011) with *Rosenfield v. United States Trust Co.*, 5 N.E. 323, 326 (Mass. 1935) (“An agreement to reach an agreement is a contradiction in terms and imposes no obligation on the parties thereto.”); *Martin v. Martin*, 326 S.W.3d 741 (Tex. App. 2010); *Va. Power Energy Mktg. v. EQT Energy, LLC*, 2012 WL 2905110 (E.D. Va. July 16, 2012).

Closing Date: As soon as practicable following the Company’s acceptance of this Term Sheet and satisfaction of the conditions to closing (the “**Closing**”). [provide for multiple closings if applicable]

Conditions to Closing: Standard conditions to Closing, including, among other things, satisfactory completion of financial and legal due diligence, qualification of the shares under applicable Blue Sky laws, the filing of a Certificate of Incorporation establishing the rights and preferences of the Series A Preferred, [obtaining CFIUS clearance and/or a statement from CFIUS that no further review is necessary,]² [and an opinion of counsel to the Company].³

Investors: Investor No. 1: [_____] shares ([_]%), \$[_____] Investor No. 2: [_____] shares ([_]%), \$[_____] [as well other investors mutually agreed upon by Investors and the Company]

*Amount Raised:*⁴ \$[_____] [including \$[_____] from the conversion of SAFEs/principal [and interest] on bridge notes].⁵

Pre-Money Valuation: The price per share of the Series A Preferred (the “**Original Purchase Price**”) shall be the price determined on the basis of a fully-diluted pre-money valuation of \$[_____] (which pre-money valuation shall include an [unallocated and uncommitted] employee option pool representing [%] of the fully-diluted post-money capitalization) and a fully-diluted post-money valuation of \$[_____].

CHARTER

Dividends: [Alternative 1: Dividends will be paid on the Series A Preferred on an as-converted basis when, as, and if paid on the Common Stock.]

² To be included if the parties review the facts of the investment and determine that a CFIUS filing is warranted. Where a mandatory filing is necessary, that filing must be submitted 45 days in advance of closing, but obtaining CFIUS clearance in advance of closing is not a requirement of law. However, submitting a CFIUS filing and then closing before the review process is completed creates regulatory risks for all parties that are best avoided if the timing of the investment permits.

³ See NVCA Model Legal Opinion for detailed commentary on legal opinions.

⁴ This provision would have to be modified for staged investments or investments dependent on the achievement of milestones by the Company.

⁵ Convertible instruments that convert at a discount may provide for a “shadow” or “subseries” of Preferred that is identical to the new round security except with respect to the amount received on liquidation, so that in a downside exit scenario all investors are at best only getting their money back. Be clear in the term sheet whether the shares issued on conversion are part of the pre-money capitalization or post-money capitalization.

[*Alternative 2:* Non-cumulative dividends will be paid on the Series A Preferred in an amount equal to \$[_____] per share of Series A Preferred when and if declared by the Board of Directors.]

[*Alternative 3:* The Series A Preferred will carry an annual [__]% cumulative dividend [payable upon a liquidation or redemption]. For any other dividends or distributions, participation with Common Stock on an as-converted basis.]⁶

Liquidation Preference:

In the event of any liquidation, dissolution or winding up of the Company, the proceeds shall be paid as follows:

[*Alternative 1 (non-participating Preferred Stock):* First pay [__ times] the Original Purchase Price [plus [accrued and] declared and unpaid dividends] on each share of Series A Preferred (or, if greater, the amount that the Series A Preferred would receive on an as-converted basis). The balance of any proceeds shall be distributed pro rata to holders of Common Stock.]

[*Alternative 2 (full participating Preferred Stock):* First pay [____ times] the Original Purchase Price [plus accrued and declared and unpaid dividends] on each share of Series A Preferred. Thereafter, the Series A Preferred participates with the Common Stock pro rata on an as-converted basis.]

[*Alternative 3 (cap on Preferred Stock participation rights):* First pay [____ times] the Original Purchase Price [plus accrued and declared and unpaid dividends] on each share of Series A Preferred. Thereafter, Series A Preferred participates with Common Stock pro rata on an as-converted basis until the holders of Series A Preferred receive an aggregate of [_____] times the Original Purchase Price (including the amount paid pursuant to the preceding sentence).]

A merger or consolidation (other than one in which stockholders of the Company own a majority by voting power of the outstanding shares of the surviving or acquiring corporation) or a sale, lease, transfer, exclusive license or other disposition of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company will be treated as a liquidation event (a “**Deemed Liquidation Event**”), thereby triggering payment of the liquidation preferences described

⁶ In some cases, accrued and unpaid dividends are payable on conversion as well as upon a liquidation event. Most typically, however, dividends are not paid if the preferred is converted. Another alternative is to give the Company the option to pay accrued and unpaid dividends in cash or in common shares valued at fair market value. The latter are referred to as “PIK” (payment-in-kind) dividends, which are quite rare in this context.

above unless the holders of [____]%⁷ of the Series A Preferred elect otherwise (the “**Requisite Holders**”). [The Investors’ entitlement to their liquidation preference shall not be abrogated or diminished in the event part of the consideration is subject to escrow or indemnity holdback in connection with a Deemed Liquidation Event.]⁸

Voting Rights:

The Series A Preferred shall vote together with the Common Stock on an as-converted basis, and not as a separate class, except (i) so long as [*insert fixed number or %*] of the shares of Series A Preferred issued in the transaction are outstanding, the Series A Preferred as a separate class shall be entitled to elect [_____] [()] members of the Board of Directors ([each a] “Preferred Director”), (ii) as required by law, and (iii) as provided in “Protective Provisions” below. The Company’s Charter will provide that the number of authorized shares of Common Stock may be increased or decreased with the approval of a majority of the Preferred and Common Stock, voting together as a single class, and without a separate class vote by the Common Stock.⁹

Protective Provisions:

So long as [*insert fixed number or %*] shares of Series A Preferred issued in the transaction are outstanding, in addition to any other vote or approval required under the Company’s Charter or Bylaws, the Company will not, without the written consent of the Requisite Holders, either directly or by amendment, merger, consolidation, recapitalization, reclassification, or otherwise:

- (i) liquidate, dissolve or wind-up the affairs of the Company or effect any Deemed Liquidation Event;
- (ii) amend, alter, or repeal any provision of the Charter or Bylaws [in a manner adverse to the Series A Preferred Stock];
- (iii) create or authorize the creation of or issue any other security convertible into or exercisable for any equity security unless the same ranks junior to the Series A Preferred with respect to its rights, preferences and privileges, or increase the authorized number of shares of Series A Preferred;
- (iv) sell, issue, sponsor, create or distribute any digital tokens, cryptocurrency or other blockchain-based assets without approval of the Board of Directors[, including the Investor

⁷ Careful thought should be given to the voting threshold based on the makeup of the round, especially if multiple series/classes are implicated. Also bear in mind that anti-dilution adjustments may result in changes in voting power.

⁸ See [Section 2.3.4](#) of the Model Certificate of Incorporation for an explanation of this provision.

⁹ For corporations incorporated in California, one cannot “opt out” of the statutory requirement of a separate class vote by Common Stockholders to authorize shares of Common Stock. The purpose of this provision is to “opt out” of DGCL 242(b)(2). If (contrary to the protective provisions in this Term Sheet) the Preferred Stock is *not* intended to be able to block future financings, include a 242(b)(2) waiver for the Preferred Stock as well.

Directors]; (v) purchase or redeem or pay any dividend on any capital stock prior to the Series A Preferred, other than stock repurchased at cost from former employees and consultants in connection with the cessation of their service, [or as otherwise approved by the Board of Directors[, including the approval of [at least one] Preferred Director]; or (vi) [adopt, amend, terminate or repeal any equity (or equity-linked) compensation plan or amend or waive any of the terms of any option or other grant pursuant to any such plan; (vii)]¹⁰ create or authorize the creation of any debt security[, if the aggregate indebtedness of the Corporation and its subsidiaries for borrowed money following such action would exceed \$[_____] [other than equipment leases, bank lines of credit or trade payables incurred in the ordinary course] [unless such debt security has received the prior approval of the Board of Directors, including the approval of [at least one] Preferred Director; [or](viii) create or hold capital stock in any subsidiary that is not wholly-owned, or dispose of any subsidiary stock or all or substantially all of any subsidiary assets; [or (ix) increase or decrease the authorized number of directors constituting the Board of Directors or change the number of votes entitled to be cast by any director or directors on any matter].

Optional Conversion:

The Series A Preferred initially converts 1:1 to Common Stock at any time at option of holder, subject to adjustments for stock dividends, splits, combinations and similar events and as described below under “Anti-dilution Provisions.”

Anti-dilution Provisions:

In the event that the Company issues additional securities at a purchase price less than the current Series A Preferred conversion price, such conversion price shall be adjusted in accordance with the following formula:

$$CP_2 = CP_1 * (A+B) / (A+C)$$

Where:

CP₂ = Series A Conversion Price in effect immediately after new issue

CP₁ = Series A Conversion Price in effect immediately prior to new issue

A = Number of shares of Common Stock deemed to be outstanding immediately prior to new issue (includes all shares of outstanding common stock, all shares of outstanding preferred stock

¹⁰ See footnote in model charter.

on an as-converted basis, and all outstanding options on an as-exercised basis; and does not include any convertible securities converting into this round of financing)¹¹

B = Aggregate consideration received by the Company with respect to the new issue divided by CP₁

C = Number of shares of stock issued in the subject transaction

The foregoing shall be subject to customary exceptions, including, without limitation, the following:

(i) securities issuable upon conversion of any of the Series A Preferred, or as a dividend or distribution on the Series A Preferred; (ii) securities issued upon the conversion of any debenture, warrant, option, or other convertible security; (iii) Common Stock issuable upon a stock split, stock dividend, or any subdivision of shares of Common Stock; (iv) shares of Common Stock (or options to purchase such shares of Common Stock) issued or issuable to employees or directors of, or consultants to, the Company pursuant to any plan approved by the Company's Board of Directors [including at least [one] Preferred Director(s)], and other customary exceptions¹².

Mandatory Conversion:

Each share of Series A Preferred will automatically be converted into Common Stock at the then applicable conversion rate in the event of the closing of a firm commitment underwritten public offering [with a price of [___] times the Original Purchase Price]¹³ (subject to adjustments for stock dividends, splits, combinations and similar events) and [gross] proceeds to the Company of not less than \$[_____] (a "QPO"), or (ii) upon the written consent of the Requisite Holders.

[Pay-to-Play:

Unless the Requisite Holders elect otherwise, on any subsequent [down] round all holders of Series A Preferred Stock are required to purchase their pro rata share of the securities set aside by the Board of Directors for purchase by such holders. [A

¹¹ The most broad based formula would include shares reserved in the option pool; a narrower base would exclude options or other convertibles. The formula above is the most typical.

¹² See Sections 4.4.1(a)(v)-(viii) of the Model Certificate of Incorporation for additional exclusions; consider building into the term sheet to avoid later "negotiation".

¹³ The per share price floor generally benefits small/minority holders. Consider 1) allowing a non-QPO to become a QPO if an adjustment is made to the Conversion Price for the benefit of the Investor, so that such Investor does not have the power to block an IPO and 2) whether IPO proceeds alone should be sufficient to establish the minimum requirements for an IPO that triggers conversion.

proportionate amount/all] of the shares of Series A Preferred of any holder failing to do so will automatically convert to Common Stock and lose corresponding preferred stock rights, such as the right to a Board seat if applicable.

*[Redemption Rights:]*¹⁴

Unless prohibited by applicable law governing distributions to stockholders, the Series A Preferred shall be redeemable at the option of the Requisite Holders commencing any time after the five (5) year anniversary of the Closing at a price equal to the Original Purchase Price [plus all accrued/declared but unpaid dividends]. Redemption shall occur in three equal annual portions. Upon a redemption request from the holders of the required percentage of the Series A Preferred, all Series A Preferred shares shall be redeemed [(except for any Series A holders who affirmatively opt-out)].

STOCK PURCHASE AGREEMENT

Representations and Warranties:

Standard representations and warranties by the Company customary for its size and industry. [Representations and warranties regarding CFIUS.]¹⁵

[Regulatory Covenants (CFIUS):

To the extent a CFIUS filing is or may be required: Investors and the Company shall use reasonable best efforts to submit the proposed transaction to the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (“CFIUS”) and obtain CFIUS clearance or a statement from CFIUS that no further review is necessary with respect to the parties’ [notice/declaration]].¹⁶

¹⁴ Redemption provisions are rare and even more rarely exercised. If included, note that due to statutory restrictions, the Company may not be legally permitted to redeem in the very circumstances where investors most want it (the so-called “sideways situation”). Accordingly, and particularly in light of the Delaware Chancery Court’s ruling in *Thoughtworks* (see discussion in Model Certificate of Incorporation), investors may seek enforcement provisions to give their redemption rights more teeth - e.g., the holders of a majority of the Series A Preferred shall be entitled to elect a majority of the Company’s Board of Directors, or shall have consent rights on Company cash expenditures, until such amounts are paid in full. Also, while it is possible that the right to receive dividends on redemption could give rise to a DGCL Section 305 “deemed dividend” problem, many tax practitioners take the view that if the liquidation preference provisions in the Charter are drafted to provide that, on conversion, the holder receives the greater of its liquidation preference or its as-converted amount (as provided in the Model Certificate of Incorporation), then there is no Section 305 issue.

¹⁵ To be considered in order to address issues under the Defense Production Act of 1950 and related regulations (DPA). Relevant representations may include whether or not a company works with “critical technologies” within the meaning of the DPA, whether a company has operations or activities in particular sectors of the U.S. economy or in the U.S. at all, whether a Company stores or maintains certain types of data, whether an Investor is foreign, and whether an Investor has foreign government relationships, among others.

¹⁶ To be included if Investors review the facts of the investment and determine that a CFIUS filing is warranted. When the Investors are foreign persons, a CFIUS filing may be mandatory with respect to certain investments (e.g., some transactions involving “critical technologies”), and voluntary but advisable with respect to others. This covenant may be paired with an explicit reference to the exercise of the redemption right in the Charter in the event of a CFIUS-

Counsel and Expenses: [Company] counsel to draft applicable documents. Company to pay all legal and administrative costs of the financing [at Closing], including (subject to the Closing) reasonable fees (not to exceed \$[____]) and expenses of Investor counsel.

INVESTORS' RIGHTS AGREEMENT

Registration Rights:

Registrable Securities: All shares of Common Stock issuable upon conversion of the Series A Preferred and any other Common Stock held by the Investors will be deemed “**Registrable Securities.**”¹⁷

Demand Registration: Upon earliest of (i) [three (3)-five (5)] years after the Closing; or (ii) [six (6)] months following an initial public offering (“**IPO**”), persons holding [__]%¹⁸ of the Registrable Securities may request [one][two] (consummated) registrations by the Company of their shares. The aggregate offering price for such registration may not be less than \$[5-15] million. A registration will count for this purpose only if (i) all Registrable Securities requested to be registered are registered, and (ii) it is closed, or withdrawn at the request of the Investors (other than as a result of a material adverse change to the Company).

Registration on Form S-3: The holders of [[10-30]% of the]¹⁹ Registrable Securities will have the right to require the Company to register on Form S-3, if available for use by the Company, Registrable Securities for an aggregate offering price of at least \$[3-5 million]. There will be no limit on the aggregate number of such Form S-3 registrations,

mandated divestiture of shares. A CFIUS “notice” is a full-form filing that results in a definitive opinion by CFIUS regarding the national security risks associated with the transaction, but may take months to obtain; a CFIUS “declaration” is a short-form filing that may not result in a definitive opinion by CFIUS but is intended to be able to be obtained within 45 days. If a CFIUS filing is warranted, the parties may also elect to negotiate a basic statement laying out the scope of Investors’ obligation to accept CFIUS conditions (*e.g.*, will Investors be obligated to accept conditions or restriction as a condition of CFIUS clearance that would have a material adverse impact on the Investors?). Whether or not a CFIUS filing is made, the parties may wish to consider other risk allocation measures or terms; examples include unilateral or bilateral waivers of responsibility for CFIUS-related costs and penalties, indemnification terms, or other similar language.

¹⁷ Although not typical, founders/management may sometimes be granted limited registration rights.

¹⁸ The Company will want the percentage to be high enough so that a significant portion of the investor base is behind the demand. Companies will typically resist allowing a single investor to cause a registration. Experienced investors will want to ensure that less experienced investors do not have the right to cause a demand registration. In some cases, different series of Preferred Stock may request the right for that series to initiate a certain number of demand registrations. Companies will typically resist this due to the cost and diversion of management resources when multiple constituencies have this right.

¹⁹ A percent threshold may not be necessary in light of the dollar threshold.

provided that there are no more than [two (2)] per twelve (12) month period.

Piggyback Registration: The holders of Registrable Securities will be entitled to “piggyback” registration rights on all registration statements of the Company, subject to the right, however, of the Company and its underwriters to reduce the number of shares proposed to be registered to a minimum of [20-30]% on a pro rata basis and to complete reduction on an IPO at the underwriter’s discretion. In all events, the shares to be registered by holders of Registrable Securities will be reduced only after all other stockholders’ shares are reduced.

Expenses: The registration expenses (exclusive of stock transfer taxes, underwriting discounts and commissions will be borne by the Company. The Company will also pay the reasonable fees and expenses, not to exceed \$[_____] per registration, of one special counsel to represent all the participating stockholders.

Lock-up: Investors shall agree in connection with the IPO, if requested by the managing underwriter, not to sell or transfer any shares of Common Stock of the Company held immediately before the effective date of the IPO for a period of up to 180 days following the IPO (provided all directors and officers of the Company [and [1 – 5]% stockholders] agree to the same lock-up). [Such lock-up agreement shall provide that any discretionary waiver or termination of the restrictions of such agreements by the Company or representatives of the underwriters shall apply to Investors, pro rata, based on the number of shares held.]

Termination: [Upon a Deemed Liquidation Event [in which similar rights are granted or the consideration payable to Investors consists of cash or securities of a class listed on a national exchange]] [and/or after the IPO, when the Investor and its Rule 144 affiliates holds less than 1% of the Company’s stock and all shares of an Investor are eligible to be sold without restriction under Rule 144 and/or] [T][t]he [third-fifth] anniversary of the IPO.

No future registration rights may be granted without consent of the holders of [a majority] of the Registrable Securities unless subordinate to the Investor’s rights.

Management and Information Rights:

A Management Rights letter from the Company, in a form reasonably acceptable to the Investors, will be delivered prior to Closing to each Investor that requires one.²⁰

Any [Major] Investor (who is not a competitor) will be granted access to Company facilities and personnel during normal business hours and with reasonable advance notification. The Company will deliver to such [Major] Investor (i) annual, quarterly, [and monthly] financial statements, and other information as determined by the Board of Directors; [and] (ii) thirty days prior to the end of each fiscal year, a comprehensive operating budget forecasting the Company's revenues, expenses, and cash position on a month-to-month basis for the upcoming fiscal year; and (iii) promptly following the end of each quarter an up-to-date capitalization table. [A "Major Investor" means any Investor who purchases at least \$[_____] of Series A Preferred.]

Right to Participate Pro Rata in Future Rounds:

All [Major] Investors shall have a pro rata right, based on their percentage equity ownership in the Company (assuming the conversion of all outstanding Preferred Stock into Common Stock and the exercise of all options outstanding under the Company's stock plans), to participate in subsequent issuances of equity securities of the Company (excluding those issuances listed at the end of the "Anti-dilution Provisions" section of this Term Sheet and shares issued in an IPO). In addition, should any [Major] Investor choose not to purchase its full pro rata share, the remaining [Major] Investors shall have the right to purchase the remaining pro rata shares.

[Matters Requiring Preferred Director Approval:

So long as the holders of Series A Preferred are entitled to elect a Director, the Company will not, without Board approval, which approval must include the affirmative vote of [at least one/each of] the then-seated Preferred Directors:

(i) make any loan or advance to, or own any stock or other securities of, any subsidiary or other corporation, partnership, or other entity unless it is wholly owned by the Company; (ii) make any loan or advance to any person, including, any employee or director, except advances and similar expenditures in the ordinary course of business [or under the terms of an employee stock or option plan approved by the Board of Directors]; (iii) guarantee any indebtedness except for trade accounts of the Company or any subsidiary arising in the ordinary course of business; [(iv) make any investment inconsistent with any

²⁰ See commentary in introduction to Model Managements Rights Letter, explaining statutory basis of such letter.

investment policy approved by the Board of Directors]; (v) incur any aggregate indebtedness in excess of \$[_____] that is not already included in a Board-approved budget, other than trade credit incurred in the ordinary course of business; (vi) hire, fire, or change the compensation of the executive officers, including approving any option grants; (vii) change the principal business of the Company, enter new lines of business, or exit the current line of business; (viii) sell, assign, license, pledge or encumber material technology or intellectual property, other than licenses granted in the ordinary course of business; or (ix) enter into any corporate strategic relationship involving the payment contribution or assignment by the Company or to the Company of assets greater than [\$_____].]

*Non-Competition Agreements:*²¹

Founders and key employee will enter into a [one] year non-competition agreement in a form reasonably acceptable to the Investors.

Non-Disclosure, Non-Solicitation and Developments Agreement:

Each current, future and former founder, employee and consultant will enter into a non-disclosure, non-solicitation and proprietary rights assignment agreement in a form reasonably acceptable to the Investors.

Board Matters:

[Each Board Committee/the Nominating and Audit Committee shall include at least one Preferred Director.] Company to reimburse [nonemployee] directors for reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in connection with attending Board meeting. The Company will bind D&O insurance with a carrier and in an amount satisfactory to the Board of Directors. Company to enter into Indemnification Agreement with each] Preferred Director with provisions benefitting their affiliated funds in form acceptable to such director. In the event the Company merges with another entity and is not the surviving entity, or transfers all of its assets, proper provisions shall be made so that successors of the Company assume the Company's obligations with respect to indemnification of Directors.

Employee Stock Options:

All [future] employee options to vest as follows: [25% after one year, with remaining vesting monthly over next 36 months].

²¹ Non-compete restrictions (other than in connection with the sale of a business) are prohibited in California, and may not be enforceable in other jurisdictions as well. Some states (*e.g.*, MA) require additional consideration in exchange for signing and/or enforcing a non-compete. Consider also whether it should be up to the Board on a case-by-case basis to determine whether any particular key employee is required to sign such an agreement. Non-competes typically have a one year duration, although state law may permit up to two years.

*[Limitations on Pre-CFIUS-Approval Exercise of Rights:]*²²

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in the Transaction Agreements, Investors and the Company agree that as of and following the initial Closing and until the CFIUS clearance is received, Investors shall not obtain (i) “control” (as defined in Section 721 of the Defense Production Act, as amended, including all implementing regulations thereof (the “DPA”)) of the Company, including the power to determine, direct or decide any important matters for the Company; (ii) access to any material nonpublic technical information (as defined in the DPA) in the possession of the Company; (iii) membership or observer rights on the Board of Directors of the Company or the right to nominate an individual to a position on the Board of Directors of the Company; or (iv) any involvement (other than through voting of shares) in substantive decision-making of the Company regarding (x) the use, development, acquisition, or release of any of the Company’s “critical technologies” (as defined in the DPA); (y) the use, development, acquisition, safekeeping, or release of “sensitive personal data” (as defined in the DPA) of U.S. citizens maintained or collected by the Company, or (z) the management, operation, manufacture, or supply of “covered investment critical infrastructure” (as defined in the DPA). To the extent that any term in the Transaction Agreements would grant any of these rights, (i)-(iv) to Investors, that term shall have no effect until such time as the CFIUS clearance is received.]

*[Springing CFIUS Covenant:]*²³

[In the event that CFIUS requests or requires a filing/in the event of []], Investors and the Company shall use reasonable best efforts to submit the proposed transaction to the Committee on Foreign Investment in the United States (“CFIUS”) and obtain CFIUS clearance or a statement from CFIUS that no further review is necessary with respect to the parties’ [notice/declaration]. Notwithstanding the previous sentence,

²² To be included if Investors intend to close the transaction in stages, with at least one stage occurring before CFIUS clearance is obtained. The foreign investor side letter language on point would override any aspect of the other transaction agreements that might, until CFIUS clearance is obtained, grant control of the Company or access to aspects of the Company that might create grounds for CFIUS jurisdiction.

²³ To be included if Investors believe that there is risk that CFIUS may request a filing of the transaction at some future date or that a CFIUS filing may be required in the event of some future occurrence (e.g., when the exit of another investor causes Investor to obtain control over the selection of a Board member). A springing CFIUS covenant provides certainty that all parties will proceed at CFIUS in orderly fashion. The further “notwithstanding” sentence ensures that while parties will cooperate to make the CFIUS filing, Investor will not be obligated to accept CFIUS-required conditions on the deal that might frustrate the purposes of its investment (i.e., the Investor can abandon the proposed investment); more robust mitigation commitment language may be desirable from the perspective of U.S. companies or U.S. investors seeking to limit foreign investors’ ability to abandon the transaction. For more information on the differences between electing to pursue a CFIUS notice vs. a CFIUS declaration and considering a reference to redemption rights, see footnote 16.

Investors shall have no obligation to take or accept any action, condition, or restriction as a condition of CFIUS clearance that would have a material adverse impact on the Company or the Investors' right to exercise control over the Company.]

[Limitations on Information Rights:²⁴

Notwithstanding anything to the contrary contained in the Stock Purchase Agreement, the Charter, the Investors' Rights Agreement, the Right of First Refusal And Co-Sale Agreement, and the Voting Agreement (all of the agreements above together being the "**Transaction Agreements**"), Investors and the Company agree that as of and following [Closing/the initial Closing], Investors shall not obtain access to any material nonpublic technical information (as defined in Section 721 of the Defense Production Act, as amended, including all implementing regulations thereof (the "**DPA**")) in the possession of the Company.]

Other Covenants:

Consult the NVCA Model Investors' Rights Agreement for a number of other covenants the Investors may seek; Investors should include to the extent they feel any may be controversial if not raised at the Term Sheet stage.

RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL/CO-SALE AGREEMENT

*Right of First Refusal/
Right of Co-Sale (Take-Me-Along):*

Company first and Investors second will have a right of first refusal with respect to any shares of capital stock of the Company proposed to be transferred by current and future employees holding 1% or more of Company Common Stock (assuming conversion of Preferred Stock and whether then held or subject to the exercise of options), with a right of oversubscription for Investors of shares unsubscribed by the other Investors. Before any such person may sell Common Stock, he will give the Investors an opportunity to participate in such sale on a basis proportionate to the amount of securities held by the seller and those held by the participating Investors.²⁵

²⁴ To be included if Investors are considered foreign entities under the DPA and intend to make an investment outside the jurisdiction of CFIUS. This assumes that Investors intend not to obtain (i) a Board seat, observer, or nomination right, (ii) more than 10% of the voting rights in the Company, or (iii) control over decision-making at the Company, including with respect to Company technologies, data and infrastructure. If the Stock Purchase Agreements, Charter, and other Transaction Agreements contemplate an investment on those terms, then a disclaimer of information rights with respect to certain technical information should be the last necessary step to remove the transaction from CFIUS jurisdiction. Further markups of the other Transaction Agreements would be necessary to ensure that they are developed consistent with this intention.

²⁵ Certain exceptions are typically negotiated, e.g., estate planning or *de minimis* transfers. Investors may also seek ROFR rights with respect to transfers by investors, in order to be able to have some control over the composition of the investor group.

VOTING AGREEMENT

Board of Directors: At the Closing, the Board of Directors shall consist of [_____] members comprised of (i) [name] as [the representative designated by [____], as the lead Investor, (ii) [name] as the representative designated by the remaining Investors, (iii) [name] as the representative designated by the Common Stockholders, (iv) the person then serving as the Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and (v) [____] person(s) who are not employed by the Company and who are mutually acceptable [to the other directors²⁶].

[Drag Along: Holders of Preferred Stock and all current and future holders of greater than [1]% of Common Stock (assuming conversion of Preferred Stock and whether then held or subject to the exercise of options) shall be required to enter into an agreement with the Investors that provides that such stockholders will vote their shares in favor of a Deemed Liquidation Event or transaction in which 50% or more of the voting power of the Company is transferred and which is approved by [the Board of Directors] the Requisite Holders [and holders of a majority of the shares of Common Stock then held by employees of the Company (collectively with the Requisite Holders, the “**Electing Holders**”), so long as the liability of each stockholder in such transaction is several (and not joint) and does not exceed the stockholder’s pro rata portion of any claim and the consideration to be paid to the stockholders in such transaction will be allocated as if the consideration were the proceeds to be distributed to the Company’s stockholders in a liquidation under the Company’s then-current Charter, subject to customary limitations.]²⁷

OTHER MATTERS

[Founders’ Stock: Buyback right/vesting for [__]% for first [12 months] after Closing; thereafter, right lapses in equal [monthly] increments over following [__] months.]²⁸

[Existing Preferred Stock:²⁹ The terms set forth above for the Series [__] Preferred Stock are subject to a review of the rights, preferences and restrictions for the existing Preferred Stock. Any changes necessary to conform

²⁶ Other formulations might be majority of Common then held by employees and majority of Preferred, for example.

²⁷ See [Section 3.3](#) of the Model Voting Agreement for a list of additional conditions that might be required in order for the drag-along to be invoked.

²⁸ Most founders’ shares are already subject to vesting; consider what level of vesting is appropriate and revise to marry up. Investors may also conclude not to change founder vesting.

²⁹ Necessary only if this is a later round of financing, and not the initial Series A round.

the existing Preferred Stock to this term sheet will be made at the Closing.]

No-Shop/Confidentiality:

The Company and the Investors agree to work in good faith expeditiously towards the Closing. The Company and the founders agree that they will not, for a period of [_____] days from the date these terms are accepted, take any action to solicit, initiate, encourage or assist the submission of any proposal, negotiation or offer from any person or entity other than the Investors relating to the sale or issuance, of any of the capital stock of the Company [or the acquisition, sale, lease, license or other disposition of the Company or any material part of the stock or assets of the Company] and shall notify the Investors promptly of any inquiries by any third parties in regards to the foregoing. The Company will not disclose the terms of this Term Sheet to any person other than employees, stockholders, members of the Board of Directors and the Company's accountants and attorneys and other potential Investors acceptable to [_____] , as lead Investor, without the written consent of the Investors (which shall not be unreasonably withheld, conditioned or delayed).

Expiration:

This Term Sheet expires on [_____ __, 20__] if not accepted by the Company by that date.

[Signature Page Follows]

EXECUTED this [__] day of [_____], 20[__].

[Signature Blocks]

SIGNATURE PAGE TO TERM SHEET

Last Updated July 2020